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ITALY – A EUROPEAN ANOMALY

Italy was very different to the rest of Europe in the medieval era. There were also extreme regional differences within its physical confines. Though feudalism had developed along the same lines as elsewhere, Italy was highly urbanised and many of its regions were exceptionally well populated. The Black Death of the mid-14th century had devastated some areas, but the populations of both the centre and north were quick to revive. Southern Italy, meanwhile, continued to witness the abandonment of villages. Rural poverty was a major feature of life: huge numbers of wandering beggar families roamed the countryside, and banditry was commonplace.

Italy’s mountain valleys flourished during the Middle Ages and the farmers and semi-nomadic pastoralists inhabiting them were regarded as good military recruits. Armies from the urbanised lowlands drew many soldiers, particularly crossbowmen, from these primitive mountain communities. By contrast to the small, impoverished settlements of rural areas, Italy’s great cities were not only wealthy but also powerful and densely populated. Late-13th-century Verona, for example, had a population of some 40,000 people; Florence’s stood at around 95,000; and Lucca, Siena and Pisa each had around 28,000 inhabitants. Italy as a whole had a population of around twelve million, compared to the four million of England.

The disparate nature of life in medieval Italy was also evident on the country’s peripheral islands. Sardinia and Corsica had remained primitive. On the former, the rival maritime republics, principally Pisa and Genoa, battled for control, while the indigenous Sards played a significant military role in the service of their foreign rulers. Meanwhile in Sicily the Muslim population had been converted, expelled or forcibly relocated to a mainland outpost around the massive royal castle of Lucera, where Arab–Islamic culture continued to flourish. The Orthodox Greek population was also in decline, as was the Jewish one. Sicily had degenerated from a rich centre of Mediterranean trade to an impoverished backwater wracked by violence. During the 14th century, forests reclaimed the centre of the island and hunting became a major economic activity.
By the 12th century, most of the cities which feature so strongly in medieval Italian history had adopted the model of the *comune*. Typically this process began when a city’s leading citizens formed a *coniuratio* (an association sworn to maintaining peace and working for the common good). The *coniuratio* then merged with the *avengo* (the town assembly) to form a *comune*. A further development of this process occurred when cities set about dominating their surrounding villages, thus establishing a much wider sphere of sovereignty called a *contado*.

Throughout the 11th–12th centuries many Italian cities were dominated by rich patrician families, while military matters came under the control of the *capitani* and the knightly *valvassori*. The first social group to further the interests of its members was that of the *miles* or knights, followed by that of the leading merchants. These groups were represented by *consoli*, who were normally answerable to a larger general council and ultimately to a parliament of all citizens.

Leading aristocrats were often locked in bitter feuds, and this led to the building of tall *torri* (privately fortified towers) in the cities, some of which can still be seen today. Violence, in particular the resolution of the *vendetta*, became such a problem in the 13th century that many cities were desperate to free themselves from rule by the troublesome consular aristocracies. During the 13th and 14th centuries some cities took the drastic step of switching from the *comune* to corporate government. However, the frequent failure of such ‘constitutional’ systems led to authority residing with a single man and his descendants, a system known as the *signoria*. This was initially established in time of crisis when the authority of a single man (a *signore*) seemed the only way of dealing with chronic social and political problems, military defeats and lawlessness, but in many areas the tyrant’s rule firmly established itself. Some *signori* came from local aristocratic families: other *signori* families were non-nobles that had been amassing wealth for generations. All maintained their authority through military power.
Fortunately there were several other systems of government in medieval Italy. The Podestà system came about when rivalry between powerful families disrupted daily and commercial life to such an extent that a paid official was brought in to maintain law and order for a fixed period. The popolo form of government usurped the power of the former dominant families, but it did not represent the majority as one might expect: instead it championed the cause of the ‘new rich’ merchant class. Once in power, they drew up laws which reduced the influence of the ‘old rich’. The popolo system reached its peak in the mid-13th century, drawing representatives from leading merchant guilds, though not from the ranks of humble craftsmen. In Florence in 1250, a typical popolo took control of the city. The societas populi, or ‘society of the [non-aristocratic] people’, formed the Primo Popolo (‘first popular’ government) based upon the interests of commercial groups and the infantry militia. Florence, like many other cities, also appointed a Capitano del Popolo, or leader of the militia forces, as a counterweight to the more established Podestà. However, this did not end factional fighting, and eventually the guild-based Priori oligarchy took over, governing Florence with considerable success throughout the 14th century. Meanwhile in the rival city of Siena a similar oligarchy of merchant bankers called the Noveschi held power from 1287 until 1355. Many other cities went through a similar process.

Political tensions came in several forms. There were tensions not only within cities but also between a city and its contado, which was a vital source of both food and military manpower. Cities tried to nibble away at their rivals’ contado, sometimes through economic warfare, sometimes through the use of military force. Later in the 14th century the process was taken a step further: mountain regions were occupied by the cities in order to control trade routes and exploit iron mines for their arms industries. Weaker cities struggled to avoid being taken over by bigger ones. For example, Lucca tried so hard not to antagonise its powerful neighbour that it was forced to accept humiliating treaties.

Medieval Italy was also divided between Ghibellines and Guelfs. The former saw the German Emperor as Italy’s best defence in a hostile world: the latter perceived the Emperor as a threat and thus supported the Papacy’s bid for
temporal power. By the 1330s, however, such a general distinction meant little, and any factional differences merely reflected local rivalries. In fact the Papacy was virtually taken over by France in the 14th century, the Popes submitting to their exile in Avignon from 1309 until the 15th century. The Papal territories as yet hardly existed as a state. Instead they comprised a collection of autonomous enclaves recognising some degree of Papal sovereignty. It was the Kingdom of Naples further south that was the largest (but not necessarily the most powerful) state in Italy. Here the feudal system proved strong enough to maintain control over scattered cities, many of which fell into decline while those of the north flourished.

Another remarkable characteristic of medieval Italy was its far-flung overseas trade, which had a significant impact on its scientific and cultural awareness. The link between Genoa and the Islamic world had been strong since at least the 12th century. Both Venice and Genoa established colonies in the eastern Mediterranean, Aegean and Black Seas. Meanwhile southern Italy still had close links with the Balkans. Italian merchants ranged across medieval Russia and visited China until the fall of the Mongol Yuan dynasty disrupted such contacts. Even so Genoese relations with the Mongol Khans of southern Russia remained strong, despite occasional clashes of interest in the Crimea.

These wide-ranging contacts also appear to have had a significant impact on arms, armour and advanced tactical theory. The crossbow and eyeglass were particularly useful new developments, and there were advances too in maritime technology. By the 11th century Lombardy had a close knowledge of both Byzantine and Islamic military technology, notably in the use of crossbows in siege warfare. In the late-12th century, classical Greek and Roman military texts were translated by Gherardo of Cremona. This scholarly interest in military matters continued through the 13th and 14th centuries, resulting in the writing of new treatises which reflected Byzantine Greek and Arab-Islamic influences. In fact the
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whole area covering northern Italy, southern France and the Iberian peninsula witnessed a flourishing of military experimentation.

**CHRONOLOGY**

(Note: Gh = Ghibelline ‘Imperial party’; Gu = Guelf ‘Papal party’)

1250  Death of Emperor Frederick II (Gh), collapse of Imperial power in Italy; appointment of the first Capitano del Popolo in Florence (Gu) marks official entry of militia into politics.

1259  Este family (Gu) takes control of Ferrara as signori.

1260  Siena (Gh) defeats Florence (Gu) at Montaperti.

1263  Mastino della Scala becomes Podestà of Verona; Della Scala family (Gh) retains control of Verona as signori until 1387.

1265–66  Charles of Anjou (Gu), invited by Italy by Pope Clement IV to evict German Imperial forces from Italy, defeats King Manfred (Gh) at Benevento.

1268  Charles of Anjou (Gu) defeats and executes King Manfred (Gh) at Tagliacozza, and becomes King of Naples and Sicily.

1275  Guido Novello (Gh) defeats Guelf force near Faenza.

1278  Consolidation of Papal authority in the Marches.

1282  Membership of the Chief Magistracy of Florence is confined to members of the Arti (trade guilds).

1282  Sicilian Vespers uprising in Sicily against Angevin–French of Naples; Peter III of Aragon is invited to rule.

1283  Crusade proclaimed against Aragonese domination of Sicily.

1287  Government of Siena reorganised under the Noveschi (the Nine).

1288  Este family (Gu) take control of Modena as signori.

1289  Florence defeats Arezzo at Battle of Campaldino.

1293  Appointment of the first Gonfaloniere di Giustizia to maintain order in Florence; guilds take responsibility for equipment of militia in Padua.
1294 Boniface VIII becomes Pope (1294–1303) and attempts to impose Papal hegemony upon Italy; reorganisation of Venetian militias.
1295 Ottone Visconti becomes Archbishop and effective ruler of Milan; Visconti family eventually become signori of Milan.
1296 Open quarrel between France and Papacy.
1300 King Charles of Naples orders forcible conversion of Muslim community in Lucera.
1302 War between Angevins and Aragonese concludes with recognition of Angevin rule on the mainland, and Aragonese rule in Sicily.
1303 Emperor accepts principle that the Pope is superior to the Emperor; French and Italian supporters kidnap Pope at Anagni.
1304 Regulations governing contado infantry incorporated into the constitution of Siena; reorganisation of the militia in Padua.
1305 Catalan mercenaries arrive in Italy.
1308 Henry VII (Gh) becomes Emperor (1308–13) but fails in attempts to unite Italy by force.
1309 Start of the ‘Babylonish Captivity’ when Pope Clement V transfers Papacy from Rome to Avignon.
1309–10 Crusade preached against Venice.
1310 Council of Ten oligarchy appointed in Venice.
1311 Visconti (Gh) signoria confirms its domination of Milan and steadily increases the size of the Milanese state; alliance of Guelf cities formed to resist Emperor Henry VII.
1313 Robert of Anjou (Gu), King of Naples (1309–43), begins unsuccessful attempt to unite Italy by force.
1316–28 Lucca, under Castruccio Castracani, dominates western Tuscany.
1318 Rioting by Sienese militia.
1321 Crusade launched against Ferrara (Gh), Milan (Gh) and Ghibelline sympathisers in Spoleto and the Marches; extended to include Mantua (Gh) in 1324.
1325 Bologna defeated by Modena at Zappollino; Florentine militia defeated by Lucca at Altopascio.
1325–26 War between Angevins of Naples and Aragonese of Sicily.
1326–28 Unsuccessful invasions of Italy by German Emperor Ludwig IV.
1337 New laws drawn up in Florence concerning hire of mercenaries.
1339 Venice annexes Treviso and begins its domination of the neighbouring mainland.
1340 Election of first Doge (Duke) of Genoa.
1342 Walter de Brienne, titular Duke of Athens, appointed ruler of Florence (later forced out in 1343); Florence remains a republic.
1347 Cola di Rienzi attempts to revive the Roman Republic as a focus for Italian unity.
1347–48 Black Death reaches Italy.
1350 Giovanni Visconti of Milan attacks Florence; Papal forces campaigning in the Romagna; Savoy defeats Swiss at Sion.
1353–57 Crusades to regain control of Papal States in central Italy.
1354 Crossbowmen reorganised as a separate part of the Florentine militia; Spoleto incorporated into Papal States; militia of Milan defeat mercenary company of Conrad of Landau in service of Mantua.
1357 Savoy takes Verrua from Marquis of Monferrato.
1358 Mercenary Grand Company defeated while crossing Florentine territory.
1360 Crusade against Milan (renewed in 1363 and 1368); Peace of Brétigny between France and England (100 Years War) results in large numbers of unemployed mercenary troops arriving in Italy.
1363 Mercenary English White Company defeat Florentine militia.
1364 Florentine militia defeats White Company.
1366 League of central Italian cities against freebooting mercenary companies.
1367 Genoa seizes Corsica.
1367–70 Papacy temporarily returns to Rome from ‘Babylonish Exile’ in Avignon.
1372–73 War between Venice and Padua, and between Venice and Hungary.
1377 Papacy returns to Rome.
1378 Ciompi uprising by the Popolo Minuto (minor guilds) and artisans in Florence temporarily breaks the power of the Guelfs and the Popolo Grasso (greater guilds).
1378–81 Venice defeats Genoa.
1378–1417 Great Schism with two rival Popes.
1382 Popolo Grasso regains political power in Florence and forms an oligarchy.
1385–1402 Gian Galeazzo Visconti almost succeeds in uniting northern and central Italy by force.
1387 Padua defeats Verona at Castagnaro.
1390–1404 Resistance movement under Éléonore of Arborea against Aragonese in Sardinia.
1392 End of war between Florence and Milan.
The crossbow was not a new invention of the Middle Ages. It had been used both in ancient China (where it remained a vital infantry weapon) and in pre-Roman Greece. However, it survived in Europe only as a complex frame-mounted siege machine or as a small hunting weapon. Chinese influences via Central Asia may have stimulated a revival in the use of handheld crossbows in 10th-century Arab-Persian armies. However, it is unclear whether there was any connection between this Islamic re-introduction and the use of handheld crossbows in 11th-century Spain, southern France and Alpine Italy, while mention of crossbows in 10th-century Scandinavia could reflect the Vikings' close commercial links with Islamic Iran. The significance of a surviving late-Roman hunting crossbow is also a matter of debate. It has little in common with earlier Greek weapons but appears similar to medieval Middle Eastern crossbows. Other references to crossbows in 10th-century northern France may be descriptions of frame-mounted siege weapons.

The late-12th century saw important technical improvements, notably the ability to make accurate revolving nuts from horn or bone using a pole-lathe. This technological advance, along with a considerable increase in siege warfare in the 11th–12th centuries, led to the crossbow becoming a truly revolutionary and decisive weapon on land as well as at sea.

Added impetus came from the widespread replacement of one-piece wooden bows with more powerful composite constructions. This was not an entirely new approach to bow-making. Oriental crossbow-makers had used composite construction in handbows for some time. Until recently it was thought that, although the Europeans copied the basic idea of composite construction, the finer details of this method of bow-making were neglected. Further evidence of this could be found in subtle differences in the way Oriental handbows and European crossbows were put together. However, a recent discovery of medieval Islamic composite crossbows in Syria has shown that Muslim craftsmen themselves used different techniques to make crossbows and handbows.

The first specific references to crossbows in Italy are to be found in Pisa in 1162 and Genoa in 1181: both of these cities were naval powers trading with the east. By the mid-13th century crossbows had been widely adopted as a naval and infantry weapon across northern Italy. A new style of warfare employing large numbers of crossbow-armed infantry suited the small but wealthy Italian cities. Disciplined, spear-armed infantry militias from northern Italy had already broken the German Empire's bid to dominate the country. The crossbow, which required little strength and
modest training, now enabled part-time militiamen to become an even more effective fighting force. As a result, in medieval Europe the Italian crossbowman became as feared as the English longbowman.

One major criticism levelled against the crossbow was that its rate of shooting was too slow, but this has been exaggerated. Of course, it could not shoot as fast as a handbow, yet the early crossbow was not as slow as some suggest. In fact it was only in the late-14th and 15th centuries, with the adoption of complicated mechanical spanning devices, that the crossbow's rate of fire slumped. In return, however, these new spanning devices provided a power which longbows and even early handguns could not beat. Nevertheless the crossbow's limitation led to the establishment of a new corps of *pavesari* carrying large mantlet shields, whose job it was to protect the crossbowman as he reloaded.

Handbows and crossbows were also used differently. Handbows could be aimed at individual targets, but were more usually employed as massed-fire weapons, showering large numbers of arrows into a predetermined killing zone. Though the crossbow offered an ever increasing power-to-weight ratio, the fact that it was held horizontally meant that it could not provide a 'falling barrage' of arrows. Tactically it was like the single-shot musket, multiple volleys only being possible if separate ranks shot in sequence. The arrows and bolts shot from a crossbow were also far more efficient than those despatched from a handbow. The latter used long arrows, which were subject to lateral stress around the bow when released and consequently quivered during the first phase of flight. The crossbow bolt was projected in a straight line, and because it lay on top of the crossbow's stock it did not need to be as long as an arrow. These stubbier more aerodynamic bolts also gave improved accuracy, range and armour-piercing capability.

The increasing use made of crossbows had a clear impact upon armour. This was especially the case in Italy, where face-covering
helmets, heavier body protection and horse armour were re-introduced. The demand for armour gave a boost to the iron industry and led to experimentation with lighter materials, including leather. *Cuir-bouilli* (hardened leather armour) was more widely used in late-13th and 14th-century Italy than in other parts of western Europe. It is also interesting to note that crossbows were used to test armour from at least 1341, when a ‘corratiae de mediā probā’ (a half-proofed cuirass) was mentioned.

Paradoxically, the boost that the crossbow gave to Italian armour technology was so successful that the crossbow itself fell out of favour in the 14th century, and heavy cavalry became the dominant force in the battlefield once more. Its popularity was gradually re-established, although the newer versions were more complex, more expensive to manufacture, and had a reduced rate-of-fire. In Italy the adoption of crossbows by infantry units had already led to changes in military ideas and organisation: this in turn made the adoption of firearms in the late-14th and 15th centuries a much easier affair. There had been a genuine ‘crossbow revolution’ and it had taken warfare out of the hands of the aristocracy.

**Crossbow versions and spanning systems**

A distinct disadvantage of early medieval crossbows was that they were cumbersome. The main advantages such weapons had over hand-held bows though was that they could be left under tension for long periods, and were relatively easy to shoot accurately. The early style of bow survived as large ‘wall crossbows’, often using a simple peg to release the string rather than a revolving nut and being made mostly of yew or ash. Other woods mentioned in the manufacture of crossbows are laburnum, willow, hazel, elm, maple and cyrus, though most of these were used in the stock rather than the bow.

Crossbows of composite construction (employing materials such as horn and sinew) may have reached Europe as early as the end of the 12th century, but were certainly in use in Genoa by the mid-13th century. Composite construction gave a much greater power-to-weight ratio, because sinew has a tensile strength approximately four times that of wood, and horn has a similarly better compression ratio: however, wood was still used to make the core of the bow. The bow on a crossbow was considerably shorter, thicker and endured more prolonged stress than that of a handheld bow. This may explain why in Europe the strips of horn were usually set edgeways along the bow rather than flat, and why the wooden core continued to take a greater proportion of stress. Up to half the entire
mass could consist of animal sinew, a far greater proportion than in oriental composite handbows. Many European composite crossbows also incorporated strips of whalebone fastened with fish glue. Another system consisted of a core made from strips of horn and whalebone, separated by horn and with lengths of spruce on each side. Crossbow strings were usually made of linen or hemp, and were sometimes waxed to reduce wear and tear. As construction methods improved and the bows became stronger, so the length of their pull fell from between 80–90cm to 15–20cm. As a result, the stock of the bow was strengthened with bone, horn or iron, in order not to compromise the power stored in the span.

A further development saw the use of steel to make the bow. As early as 1086, a weapon with a blue ‘metallic’ bow appears in a Mozarab Spanish manuscript; given the Islamic world’s superiority at this time in this type of metallurgy, the evidence appears convincing enough to indicate that it might be of steel. There is also evidence of experimentation with steel crossbows in southern France in the late-13th century. The first clear reference to a steel bow dates from 1314, and it is described as being ‘in the Genoese manner’. These early steel crossbows were no doubt prone to failing spectacularly, and it is not until the 1370s that we find such weapons in regular military use.

The earliest method of spanning or pulling back a crossbow was to place one foot on each arm of the bow and then draw back the string. In the late-12th century the belt hook appeared in Egypt, and then in Mediterranean Europe shortly after. The resultant **balestra a crocco** allowed the bowman to use the full strength of his legs, and enabled him to achieve a maximum pull of around 150 kilograms. The next development saw the incorporation of a pulley onto the belt hook strap. The
The outer circuit walls of Angera castle overlooking Lake Maggiore. This style of Italian fortification was also to be found in the Balkans and Crusader Greece. (Author's photograph)

earliest Italian reference to a 'one foot crossbow' (almost certainly with a stirrup lashed to the end of the stock) comes from Piacenza in 1269, though the device had probably been around for some time. An astonishing amount of detail on this subject lay preserved in the royal archives of Naples, but unfortunately this was lost in American bombing during the Second World War. Some documents had already been published beforehand, including the lists of weaponry ordered by King Charles for his war against the Aragonese. In 1282, for example, the king required *balestre de justo* (wooden crossbows) of both the 'one foot' and 'two feet' varieties (though only half as many as the latter), plus *balestre de corno* (composite crossbows), again of 'one foot' and 'two feet' types. A huge number of bolts were needed for the 'one foot' crossbows and a third as many for the 'two feet'. We also know that 20 wooden 'one foot' crossbows were sent to one castle, along with 'bandoliers' (probably shoulder-belt spanning devices for use on horseback: there are many references to mounted crossbowmen in 13th-century Italy). A *balestra de torno* (a windlass crossbow) and 400 bolts were also sent to the same castle.

The 14th century saw the appearance of several ingenious spanning devices, such as the *gaffle*. Its associated crossbow, generally known as a *balestra a leva*, could be used on horseback, and was in widespread use from the mid-14th century. The more complicated *cranequin* (also known as a 'rack' or 'cric') first appeared around 1373, but it was too expensive and delicate to be used effectively in war. The spanning windlass was like a miniaturised version of the system used to span frame-mounted siege crossbows since Roman times: the *balestra de torno* was widely used in sieges and was probably spanned like this. Finally, there was the 'great crossbow', surviving examples of which measure 1.5–2 metres in length. Comparable weapons had been used in the Byzantine and Islamic worlds for centuries, and these were all probably spanned by winches and mounted on wooden pedestals or frames. The 'scagni pro balistis a torno' mentioned in a source from Italian-influenced Dubrovnik in 1376 may well have been such a pedestal.

The Italian crossbow-like siege weapon called the *spingarda* was the same as the French *espringal*, the English *springald* and the Arabic *qaws al-ziyar*. These weapons had two separate bow-arms and derived their power from twisted skeins of horse-hair, sinew or other such material. The *spingarda* normally shot *viretoni*, which were 'spinning' bolts similar to those used in the largest *balestre de torno*. Apart from commonly used crossbow types, there are also records of experimental versions. Multiple
or multi-shot crossbows were used in medieval China, and references to them can be found in 12th-century Egypt. A similar device capable of shooting 15 arrows is mentioned in a 12th-century Venetian source, and (more realistically) an eight-bolt multiple-shot Venetian crossbow is described in a document dating from 1411. However, only China brought such devices into practical use. (See Plate E for illustrated details of crossbow spanning methods and mechanisms.)

FROM MILITIAMAN TO PROFESSIONAL

Urban militias were the main forces at the disposition of the Italian cities, whereas *masnada* levies or militias were the main source of military muscle available to the feudal lords of the surrounding area. Most feudal infantry (*pedites*) were of servile origin and a large proportion were non-combatants, including *guastatori*, whose primary role was to destroy enemy crops. Those who fought in battle tended to be conscripted freemen or mercenaries, many of whom were archers. For freemen, such service was a privilege and duty, yet the laws they served under were very different to those upheld by the knightly cavalry. In the 12th and early-13th centuries fighting with bows and crossbows was still regarded as ‘lower-class warfare’ by both the knights and by the spear-armed urban infantry militiamen who fought in close combat. It took many years for crossbowmen to achieve elite status: unfortunately, by this time the crossbow was no longer a decisive tactical weapon.

Urban militias were conscripted when circumstances dictated, and were composed of noble cavalry, non-noble cavalry (from the wealthier middle class) and infantry (mostly from the middle class and artisans). Cities could also call upon men from their surrounding area – the local rural aristocracy often had a quasi-feudal relationship with the city, regarding it as their surrogate ‘lord’. The laws governing conscription, training, mustering and arming were very precise and were written into the city’s constitution. They were also modified in response to military and political changes. Mercenaries had long been present in urban armies, and they continued to play a role. The really poor, however, were rarely involved in such matters.

Urban knights had been integrated into the militia since the 10th century. Nevertheless the infantry now dominated, not only numerically (a proportion of ten *pedites* to one knight was the norm) but also politically: the *milites* were no longer dominant and they could not afford to despise the *pedites*, who wielded political power. Such circumstances meant that Italian communal armies were at the forefront of a revival in effective tactical co-ordination between cavalry
and infantry during the 11th and 12th centuries. But the static, spear-armed infantry militias were themselves threatened by the crossbow since they provided an even easier target than the cavalry. To survive, communal militias had to change. The result was the adoption of the crossbow as a key weapon, and the creation of the new force of pavesari, who shielded the crossbowmen as they reloaded, and provided a base from which light infantry and cavalry could launch their charges. Almost identical tactics had already been adopted in the Middle East to deal with the threat posed by mounted archers armed with composite bows.

Italian cities were in a good position to be able to take full advantage of the crossbow's potential. Most were wealthy, their arms-manufacturing facilities were well established, and levels of political sophistication were high. The crucial factor above all though was that military discipline was built upon existing bonds of communal loyalty. The division of cities into quarters or wards for militia recruitment facilitated the establishment of a military framework: the number of such quarters varied from city to city but they remained a fundamental feature of medieval Italian urban life.

Italian militias reached a peak in the 13th century, after which their discipline was eroded by diverging interests of the rich and the less prosperous, and between employer and employee. Militia service became less prevalent in some northern cities in the first half of the 13th century, but in Tuscany it remained the norm until well into the 14th century. Meanwhile other factors were also at work. During the first half of the 13th century freebooting and 'Crusader' armies campaigned across much of Italy. Several included large numbers of so-called 'Saracens' from Lucera. This extraordinary gheto of Italian Muslims, forcibly transferred from Sicily in the 13th century, survived by serving as soldiers for whichever ruler offered them protection. They included cavalry but were most often employed as light infantry armed with composite bows and crossbows. Their offensive infantry tactics had a remarkable influence upon Italian infantry militias and, through them, on the whole development of medieval European infantry warfare in the 13th and 14th centuries. One thing urban militias could not reproduce was the Lucera 'Saracens' skill with the composite handbow. Nevertheless, militias were expected to be proficient in the use of weapons, be they armoured cavalry, light cavalry, pavesari mantlet-bearers, scuderi 'small shield' infantry, balestieri crossbowmen, or the increasingly common mounted crossbowmen.
The 14th century was a period of decline for communal militias, and by the 1390s they had virtually disappeared in many cities. Yet this decline varied from place to place, and crossbowmen were less affected than cavalry or other foot soldiers: it was also a decline that mirrored that of Italian urban political liberties in this period. Tuscan infantry were never as renowned as those of 12th to 13th-century Lombardy, but they survived longer and were greatly influenced by ‘Saracen’ infantry from Lucera, adopting some of their offensive infantry tactics as well as their short stabbing-swords, used to attack enemy cavalry.

While mercenary cavalry gradually replaced the increasingly ineffective communal cavalry, communal infantry soldiered on and defeated several foreign armies, most notably from Germany. In northern Italy, however, even infantry militias eventually degenerated into ill-trained levies like that of Antonio della Scala’s Verona which was disastrously defeated in 1387. In central Italy, the Florentine militia cavalry fell into rapid decline from 1337: the crossbow militia meanwhile was strengthened, but it did not evolve into the sort of crossbow-armed, middle-class national guard seen in France. Instead the crossbowmen of Florence (and probably in other cities too) became a semi-professional corps, recruited locally from the artisan class.

Most Tuscan and northern Italian cities also recruited mercenary infantry such as the famous Genoese crossbowmen or the fearsome Almoravari troops from Spain. The latter had evolved out of Moorish Andalusian light infantry and their tactical influence would reinforce that of the earlier ‘Saracens’ of Lucera.

One simple reason why local militias endured so long was the size of their numbers, which could be very large as the proportion of recruits from the sur-
rounding *contado* increased. Unlike urban militiamen, however, the latter were ‘pressed men’ who served unwillingly and for little reward. It is interesting to note too that there was often one crossbowman for every ten infantrymen in these units. By the mid-14th century there were signs of discontent even amongst élite urban crossbowmen and, although this was temporarily solved by forming them into separate units while the rest of the militia fell into decline, by the late-14th century even wealthy Florence could no longer pay its crossbow élite properly. Men began to avoid training and garrison duty, insubordination was rife and the well-off paid others to take their places. Other cities faced similar problems.

The threat posed by roving armies of predatory mercenaries forced Orvieto to revive its militias but they proved ineffective. In 1366 a league of central Italian cities was formed to deal with the menace of unemployed mercenary companies: each city provided an equal number of infantry militia, half of them crossbowmen, and cavalry largely recruited from the mercenary companies themselves. Meanwhile smaller states like Lucca, which lacked the money to hire sufficient mercenaries, continued to rely on citizen militias long after they were dissolved elsewhere.

Professional infantry often came from the same backgrounds as the militias and many may even have served as militiamen. During the 13th century the most famous units were ‘Saracens’ from Lucera, crossbowmen from Pisa, Corsica, Genoa and Liguria, and other foot soldiers from the hills of Romagna. Some served outside Italy, most notably Genoese crossbowmen in French service during the 14th century. Surviving *condotta* contracts provide details of how they were recruited, equipped and paid. Most were enlisted as groups rather than individuals, and the standardisation of arms and armour was quite surprising.
employers were usually expected to supply them with ammunition. Crossbowmen were graded according to competency: a fully qualified man was one judged capable of repairing a crossbow, while a 'master crossbowman' could make such a weapon from scratch.

Frequent tension between militia infantry and professional foot soldiers may have been the result of insecurity on the part of the militia, who rightly felt that their position was under threat. Certainly, many states were increasingly happy to collect fines instead of enforcing militia service, since the money could be used to hire professionals. It was also a 'buyer's market' with plenty of troops available. A small standing army, free from local political involvement, was the preferred option of many of those governing the cities. Many constitutions were modified to allow for this; for example, Florence drew up new legal codes in 1337 so that each masnada of 50 professional infantrymen had to include at least 20 non-Florentines. The unwillingness of Florentine militiamen, whether from city or contado, to do tedious garrison duty meant that increasing numbers of professionals were enlisted in the 1360s and 1370s. The 1378 Ciompi Revolt, led by the Popolo Minuto and vote-less artisans, finally put an end to the Florentine militia system, and thereafter crossbowmen were almost entirely drawn from full-time 'foreign' professional stipendiari who brought their families with them. Whole units were hired complete with their own support, command structures and military bands.

**HOME AND WORK**

Less is known about the everyday life of middle-class people in medieval Europe than is known about the experiences of the aristocracy. However, because there was a large, literate urban bourgeoisie in Italy, we know more about the Italian medieval middle class than of their European contemporaries. The idea that peasants were constantly fleeing to cities in search of a better life is an over-simplification. So is the idea that the old social order broke down in the face of new economic changes. In fact in the late-14th century the status of individuals and families did not change much, while the social hierarchy seems to have become more rigid, not less so. Those who migrated to cities from the countryside often kept close links with their original villages since kinship ties were essential for individual and family security. Meanwhile the concentration of inter-related groups within specific parts of a city increased their economic, political and military power.

Family structures changed significantly in the 11th and 12th centuries as a result of an increase in the importance of patrilineal authority. This meant that fathers had almost complete authority over their children, family, servants and retainers. There was, inevitably, a corresponding decline in
the status of women. This change in family life was more true of the aristocracy than of other classes in Italy. For most medieval Italian families, links with the mother’s as well as the father’s family remained strong. This persistence of the consortia in Italy meant that there was often an equal sharing of the parental inheritance. The political turmoil often witnessed in towns also strengthened the reliance on this system, particularly amongst the middle classes: it was dangerous to concentrate the family’s wealth in the hands of one, vulnerable individual, and wiser to spread the risk as widely as possible. Such arrangements could be linked to ‘tower societies’, in which several heirs or unrelated families joined forces to build and defend fortified torri, a feature that came to dominate the Italian urban skyline. The consortia also had an outer circle of distant relatives, sometimes in other parts of the country, who served as useful business contacts or provided refuge in times of crisis. Within a few generations a consortia could be made up of hundreds of people and scores of branches. All the while though, the wife’s rights over her husband’s property were being whittled away: it was considered safer to place wealth in the hands of men strong enough to fight in its defence.

One way families defended themselves at a time when governments were rarely able to maintain law and order was by the vendetta. Originally the code of vendetta was carefully circumscribed so that it did not get out of control. Vengeance had to be ‘condenens’ (appropriate) and not excessive, only involving those regarded as guilty of the original offence, and a close relative of the injured party. The man who carried out the vendetta, particularly if it involved killing, would take vengeance and then flee to a place where his family had relatives. The family would then be tried in his absence, and then subsequently seek reconciliation with those on the other side. This was usually possible once injuries were judged to be equal, though a guilty individual still needed to obtain a pardon from the city authorities, usually after his family had paid a fine.

A revival of Roman law in the 13th century meant that a father retained control
over his adult children until he decided to emancipate them. In many cases, children were not set free until their father died. This meant that a young man could only follow a military career if his *paterfamilia* agreed, although coercion could be used to gain paternal consent.

It is often assumed that medieval close-families were large, and that they lived in overcrowded and insanitary conditions. This was clearly not the case in Italian cities where the average 13th–14th-century family was made up of three to four people – much like the modern nuclear family. Disease, rather than war, also meant that the average age of the population remained relatively low.

Social and sexual attitudes were extremely varied in medieval Italy. Some attitudes were modern and progressive, while others now seem shocking. For example, in the 13th–14th centuries women usually married in their early teens: men however did not tend to wed until their 30s, in stark contrast to the early Middle Ages when young boys were often forced into marriage. Indeed much of the characteristic violence and unrest in Italian cities was blamed on young men who had little else to do in a segregated society. For reasons unknown, women outnumbered men by a three-to-two majority. We can only assume that the widely differing age-gap between husbands and wives hindered the development of a sense of true companionship, though romantic ideals of ‘love’ remained a favourite literary theme. No doubt the Black Death changed things: the catastrophe which wiped out entire families inevitably forced a strengthening of the bonds between generations, as well as that between husband and wife.

Italian urban housing of this period had more in common with Byzantium and the Islamic world than with cities north of the Alps. This was because in Italy, Greece and the Middle East, Roman cities had mostly survived along with their associated way of life. Most professional soldiers tended to live near the citadel or fortified palace, or in the *domus*, the great family house of their employers. Some cities provided accommodation for mercenaries, though this was not necessarily in the form of barracks. Militiamen lived in their own homes which were often part of their shops or workshops.

A detailed study of surviving medieval houses in Genoa has identified three main types (see the illustration on page 45 for examples). The first consisted of several houses with a continuous portico: this was probably associated with the *consortia* system, whereby an extended family lived in the building and operated several shops on the ground floor. The second type was the *casa-fondaco*, characteristic of the 13th–14th centuries, which was more like an inhabited warehouse. It had shops or storage areas beneath, and could have a fortified *torre* attached. The third type was a humbler structure which was divided into numerous apartments for poorer artisan families. Each structure was on a single floor with about 32 square metres of living space. The simplest houses of this period were made of wood: sadly none have survived.
Within their homes medieval Italian families took food very seriously. Bread was the staple food, and there was a huge variety of types, including special forms of sweetened, flavoured and decorated loaves for the religious feast days. Wine was also important and it too was very varied. Surviving Ricordi (books of family advice) provide details of a typical feastday meal in a prosperous though not aristocratic Italian family. The first course might include melon, salad and wine from Salerno or Greece, replaced by sweet wine in winter; the second course consisted of antipasti; the third of grilled meat with 'light' white wine; the fourth of roast meat with 'heavy' red wine; and the fifth of fruits with wines flavoured with aromatics and honey or with 'Mangiaguerria' (a special wine from the Campania region) or with sweet wine from Salerno. Though this seems elaborate today, it was seen as a 'simple' spread when compared to the highly decorated concoctions of French-style feasting. One might expect the diet of soldiers to have been much more basic, but the variety of foodstuff sent on an ordinary campaign suggests that military life was not always harsh (see the section On Campaign).

**ORIGINS AND RECRUITMENT**

All citizens owed servitia debita, or service to the state, a practice rooted in feudal concepts. During the 13th and 14th centuries, militia units comprised tax-paying citizens who remained liable for service from the ages of 15 to 70 in Florence, and from 20 to 60 in Siena. Crossbowmen may have been younger: the Society of Crossbowmen in Italian-influenced Ragusa (present-day Dubrovnik) were aged between 16 and 40 in the late-14th century. Although the militia did not draw men from the poorest sections of society, there were still plenty of recruits: this was because the Popolo Minuto formed almost 60 per cent of the population in many 14th-century cities. Once recruited, infantrymen were grouped into units which varied in size according to local conditions. The four main classes of militia were the cavalry, the heavy infantry including pavesari, the light infantry and the archers (including crossbowmen). The majority of rural contadini served as very low status pioneers or labourers.

The prejudice against archery, common throughout western Europe, meant that it took time for crossbowmen to become a military (though never a social) elite. For example, the few Florentine crossbowmen known to us include a bootmaker, a leather gilder, a dyer, a fishmonger, a baker and a tailor. Only when skill and luck enabled an individual to rise higher in society does more detailed information survive. One of the most interesting biographies preserved is that
of Johannes of Fulgineo, near Arezzo. This master crossbowman, and noted marksman, was recruited by the Dalmatian maritime city of Ragusa, arriving there in 1376. His first contract was to make 20 crossbows ‘da braccio’ and three ‘da baloardo’ for the sum of 45 gold ducats. He made good contacts with local guild leaders and went into business with other men from Italy, Dalmatia and southern France, including a ‘diver’ from Ancona, a rope-maker from Venice, a smith from Zara, and an armourer from Lecce. When he was about 30 years old, Johannes married Ruchna, the 16-year-old daughter of a leading Ragusa merchant. He later purchased a vineyard and a merchant ship, and in addition received a special allowance for his services to the city.

The details of recruitment varied from place to place, but in general prosperous, middle-class immigrants were usually welcomed in the societates armorum (militia companies). In the far north-west, the feudal kingdom of Savoy had much in common with neighbouring France, and to the east the city state of Verona had a militia system in which duties like guaite (manning the walls) mirrored the French system of guet (urban guard duty). Crossbow militiamen played the major role in the guaite, whereas decene (garrison duty outside the city’s walls) seems to have fallen to everyone. Incidentally, we also know that the Veronese militia crossbowmen were under strict instruction to maintain their weapons in good condition, and that there were normally five times as many ordinary stirrup-type ballestre a staffa as there were larger ballestre da due piedi.

In Florence the militia was reorganised into four quarters after 1342, each providing four units under their gonfalonieri officers. Each militia group (or società) was still supposed to include cavalry, heavy infantry and crossbowmen in the proportions of 1:2:2, but a crisis in 1354 led to an élite force of 800 crossbowmen being selected from the 16 gonfalonieri companies and put under a constable. In rival Siena, the Podestà continued to enforce militia conscription, with each of the contrade (small wards or precincts) supposedly maintaining its own company. Not all men were eligible to join here. They had to be ‘good and faithful popolani’, resident in the surrounding contado, or foreigners who had proved their loyalty to Siena. Others were excluded because their families were involved in a vendetta or because they were from the aristocratic class.

Less is known about southern Italian militias, that had a comparatively minor military role to play. Yet even a small Calabrian town like Nicotera still had its own militia in the late-13th century. Over the straits in Sicily, the traditional xurteri (night-watch militia companies) were deemed ineffective by the early-14th century, seeing as most men found an excuse to avoid service. Instead, during a period of near anarchy when
the Aragonese rulers of Sicily had to desperately defend their cities against attack by their rival Naples, an array of comitivi (private armies) had sprung up and taken over this role. The loyalty of these comitivi could also be bought by wealthy or powerful men who used them to control what was left of the rural interior or the surrounding contado.

Most rural militias were rabbles of unwilling peasantry, that were too poor to equip themselves properly; however, in some places they were a more effective fighting force. Those of the Florentine contado, for example, were based upon the pieve (a group of parishes), and each had its own vessilli (a detachment marching behind a banner carried by a veteran from a leading local family). These and other rural militias were listed according to their leghe, or unit, of which there were around 53. It is possible that the urban quarters of Florence were given responsibility for the military organisation of parts of the contado in the mid-13th century. The demand for troops led to an increase in the quota of militiamen recruited from the contado, but this led to a drop in quality: this can be clearly seen in a report dated 1364 by Coppo de Medici, which paints a dismal picture.

The little city of Lucca continued to rely on both rural and urban militias, and here the contado was divided into sections, each of which had to supply pavesari, balestieri, and tabulacciari. One 14th-century record of a muster shows that the smallest unit came from Montiscaroli (11 balestieri, 13 pavesari, seven tabulacciari) and the largest from the Vicariate of Camporeggiane (100, 120, and 80 respectively). We know that in 1383, 36 skilled militiamen were to be provided by each area: these men were divided into three groups which served for one month at a time. However, this proved to be both unpopular and expensive, so instead money was spent on improving the fortifications, and fewer men were employed. Thus the wages of the cerme rural militia were reduced.

The information available regarding Siena highlights different problems. Here the rural areas included lordships which were not strictly part of the contado. The military obligations of these lordships were defined in their original treaties of submission to the city, or capituli, and mostly consisted of an agreement to supply a fixed number of infantrymen, at Siena’s expense. An example of this dates from 1302, when a special force of 2,000 contadini was recruited from the nine vicariates of the contado, on the basis that their dominant families had shown traditional loyalty to Siena and the Guelf cause. Their primary function was to defend the Sienese Popolo Grasso and Popolo Minuto against attack from their own grandi magnates or aristocracy. Although such obligations could be demanding, they were
difficult to change. To further complicate the situation, a parallel system of nine podestarite (police districts) was established under the authority of the Podestà, with differing boundaries to the nine vicariates still within the authority of the Capitano del Popolo.

Complicated as such systems were, the city states of Tuscany at least enjoyed effective authority over their territories. The neighbouring Papal States did not. Here, demands or even pleading requests for the various cities to send their military units were often ignored. At best it resulted in the despatch of a few cavalrymen, with a notable unwillingness to send militia crossbowmen. In Sicily, economic collapse meant that a pool of militarily skilled manpower was available. Much of the interior of the island was now inhabited by just a small number of seminomadic pig farmers and brigands, and here the ordinary people were noted for their hunting skills. Crossbows as well as composite hand-bows, javelins and spears were all used during wide-ranging boar-hunts which resembled military expeditions. More modest though equally exotic sources of militiamen and mercenaries were the overseas colonial outposts of Venice and Genoa.

Mercenary infantrymen were not necessarily full-time professionals. Most seem to have come from upland or mountainous regions of Italy. Over-population in the Alps and northern Apennines meant that soldiering became a secondary occupation for much of the rural population. They were also regarded as being different to the inhabitants of the cities: independent-minded, physically strong, impulsive and aggressive but poor, they were in fact ideal military material. Those in command of mercenary infantry units were usually Italian, whereas cavalry leaders recruited a large number of non-Italians into their ranks. Sources show that many officers commanding Genoese crossbowmen in 14th-century France were highly experienced. For example, a certain Conrart Grimaldi served from 1370 to 1395, and had fought in Italy in 1369: also, Odet d'Ansart, a constable in command of 19 mounted crossbowmen, was a 'squire from the territory of Genoa' whose coat-of-arms consisted of a chevron and two stars en chef, a crossbow en pointe and a champ festonné, marked with a bundle of arrows. Some officers came from the noble families of Spinola, Doria and Grimaldi: others were known in the simple form of 'Martin of Parma', 'Guy of Pisa', 'Francis of Naples' or 'Anthony of Piacenza'.

BELOW Another detail from Lorenzetti's 'Bad Government in the Country': here soldiers are depicted leaving a city.
Men who served as militiamen might seek employment as mercenaries elsewhere if their hometown was not at war. Many were recruited within their own cities by agents for an employer elsewhere. Feudal barons from Naples, Rome, the Romagna and Lombardy similarly offered entire units of their own followers to friendly cities; these men were then paid by the city and were not strictly mercenaries. Cities would lend militia to their allies too. In addition, individual travellers would recruit soldiers for protection, particularly in the middle and later 14th century when banditry reached epidemic proportions. Some of those hired had however been bandits themselves, and would return to it when no better-paid employment could be found.

A surviving Genoese contract dated 13 April 1254 states that Giordano the Crossbowman recruited Giovanni the Crossbowman for 29 weeks, as well as Ughetto the Crossbowman, who was to replace the late Aimerico of Barbagetala. Most of these men came from the coastal mountains of Liguria rather than Genoa itself, though the city remained the centre of recruitment. Other so-called ‘Genoese’ crossbowmen came from far away. A list of these men in French service in 1378 shows that it was led by Guy of Pisa, and consisted of William, Anthony and Hugh from Pisa, Antony from Lucca, Antony from Venice, Mas from Messina, Richard from Naples, as well as many non-Italians. Among the Italians commanded by Antoide Quinaille that same year were Daniel and Peter from Venice, Bernard from Monaco, John from Modena and Antony from Sicily.

Piedmont and Savoy were a further source of infantry. The troops from the Val d’Aosta were regarded as experts in mountain siege warfare. Also, crossbowmen from Mantua served in many locations, infantry from Lucca’s contado took service under foreign flags, and foot soldiers from San Bancrazio appeared in late-13th-century Florentine army lists. In the latter, we also know that Catalan mercenaries were replaced by men from France and southern Italy in 1314–15. Men from Spoleto served in Siena, where a three-man commission called the Lords of the Masnada monitored mercenary performance, the best being rewarded with bonus pay. By the mid-13th century, a large part of the Papal army consisted of Tuscan infantry. Italian professional infantry could also find themselves being sent on crusade, as in 1366 when Gian Galeazzo Visconti of Milan recruited 300 brigandi, mostly from Genoa, then ‘loaned’ them to the Count of Savoy who sailed off to capture Gallipoli in Turkey. These brigandi garrisoned the city, but were carefully watched by
the suspicious Savoyard nobles: numerous pirate fleets were roaming the Aegean and Black Seas at this point, containing a large number of Italians in their ranks, and were no doubt on the look-out for eager new recruits.

The command structure of Italian infantry militias was simple. In late-13th-century Padua, the Podestà presented standards to each of the gastaldiones (leaders of the guild militias): the banner of the Podestà was held by an officer called the index ancianorum. In Lucca the four gonfalonieri militia commanders each had four pennonieri (junior officers) responsible for looking after banners and summoning the men. These officers were elected either by the General Council of Lucca or by a smaller executive committee called the Thirty-Six. The role of gonfaloniere could not pass directly from father to son, or from brother to brother, except after a four-month interval. In Florence each militia unit was led by a gonfalonierius, supported by two distingitori (explainers) and a consigliere (‘consul’ or representative). The commander of an archer or crossbow unit here was called a bandifer: also, the pavesari were divided into three vexilla units. In Siena the Capitano del Popolo and Podestà shared responsibility for militia units, along with a ‘war captain’, a post created early in the 14th century. Sienese militia companies were headed by a captain with a standard bearer and three councillors, all at least 30 years old and selected from ‘men of property’ within that particular quarter of the city. Each captain swore loyalty to the Guelf party and the city of Siena, and every man in the company might also have to swear the same, to ensure that no hated Ghibelline entered their ranks. In 1310 Siena decided that only citizens should command rural militia units, as opposed to the Podestà’s ‘foreign’ assistants, who were instead given the role of rural police officers. However, as the Italian militia system fell apart in the 1390s, officers were mostly drawn from the old feudal élite, and were almost all ‘foreign’ mercenaries in so far as they came from other parts of Italy.

**PAY AND MOTIVATION**

Several different currencies were used in 13th–14th-century Italy, while inflation was as prominent though not as drastic as in the present day. In early-14th-century Siena, records show that each militia company had its own notary or clerk who served as treasurer: so many captains had embezzled company funds that such appointments were considered vital. The funds available covered the renting of store-rooms as well as paying the men. Two copies of the account books were kept, listing members: one remained with the notary, and the other was kept by the company captain. Both men had to show their records to the Podestà, the Capitano del Popolo and the city courts on request.
Clearly the high pay offered to some 13th-century crossbowmen was dependent upon their attaining a certain level of skill. In general, there is evidence of an overall rise in military salaries in the 13th century, particularly in the rich city-states. Wages naturally increased in times of crisis. In 1282 King Charles of Naples offered twelve gold tari a month (a handsome sum) to crossbowmen and spearmen, while elsewhere pay appeared more modest. In 1260 the Florentine army gave three shillings a day to crossbowmen, two shillings and eight pence to ordinary archers, two shillings and six pence to pavesari, two shillings to ordinary infantry, and a mere shilling to sappers or pioneers. Such figures considered on their own appear almost meaningless, but do provide a useful indication of comparative pay-rates. Almost everywhere crossbowmen were paid more than pavesari, while cavalry received considerably more than both of these. For example, during the war between Florence and Pistoia in 1302, horsemen received nine florins a month, while the crossbowmen were paid only one florin, despite being described as ‘specialists’. Garrison duty on an exposed frontier could guarantee an increase in pay, while service in dangerous wooden battifolle towers during a siege might result in a bonus.

Officers were naturally paid more than their men: in Piedmont in 1286 a crossbowman received three florins a month, rising to four by 1288, whereas a captain of crossbowmen in 1266 already received five florins monthly. Elsewhere, surviving plans drawn up for an early-14th-century crusade suggest that knights should receive four times the pay of a crossbowman. In peacetime the constabli of Florence were given 40 soldi per month, while their men received only 25: in time of war, this was increased to six and three florins respectively. The rewards that a ‘master crossbowman’ could expect were higher still: by the late-14th century, their pay was sometimes higher than that of a cuirass-maker.

Other useful sources of income were booty (of special import on naval expeditions) and the ransom extracted from captured enemies. We know for example that at Vercelli in 1232, 20 soldi were offered for cavalry prisoners, and 15 for infantry. We also know that in 1318 the Sienese militia rioted because they were stopped from sacking Massa following its surrender, which goes to show just how strongly the troops felt about this. Governments, not surprisingly, often encouraged these income supplements, since military expenditure could consume over a third of the state’s revenues. But money was not the only motivation: religion also played a part. For example Ghibelline opposition to the Pope and his Guelf supporters was to some extent a rejection of the Papacy’s authority, particularly his claim to temporal authority. Similarly, those fighting in support of the Pope during the Italian crusades often wore crosses sewn to the left shoulder. Many Italians though found these so-called crusades distasteful, and the friars preaching them had to be protected by military escorts.

However, loyalty to the local city-state was by far the strongest motivation to fight. According to
the Florentine chronicler Giovanni Villani; 'the lordly pride of the Primo Popolo and our ancestors was inspired by the pomp of the carroccio and the marinella'. Many cities had such a carroccio ceremonial wagon as a focus of civic identity. In Siena, for example, cavalry swore allegiance to the communal banner while infantry militia swore upon the carroccio. Tension between various quarters within a city and between economic classes or rival families frequently resulted in violence, but communal solidarity and obedience to elected representatives remained strong.

While codes of chivalry put some brake on the horrors of war elsewhere in Europe, Italian militias often had little respect for them: non-noble troops were usually outside the protection offered by such codes. Knights were happy to slaughter the militia, so they were happy to slaughter knights, except when the latter generated handsome ransoms. Outside the southern kingdoms of Naples and Sicily, the remnants of chivalry gradually withered away. However, it is interesting to note how in 14th-century Sicily a peculiar parody of chivalry emerged, where leaders of the comitivo armed gangs used pomp and almost heraldic dress to threaten and impress rivals, and to attract recruits.

Unit solidarity within the militias was based upon other real or imagined traditions. Genoese crossbowmen, for example, formed a corporation whose traditions governed behaviour, professional standards and mutual support. The identity provided by banners and military music also served to reinforce the sense of cohesion within each unit. Italian heraldry often disregarded the rules as understood north of the Alps, and had its own way of doing things. For example, the city militias often tried to restrict the colours used on their flags to those appearing on the city's banner. Colour could also be manipulated for political ends. The red and white arms of the Primo Popolo republican government in Florence were a symbolic inversion of the white and red used by the previous regime: a white lily on a red background became a red lily on a white background. One unit of troops defending the Florentine carroccio had a small red cross on a white background while the second unit used this colour scheme reversed. Florentine militia crossbowmen also had two flags, each marked with a crossbow but with the colours reversed. Comparable flags for the pavesari and the handbow archers also followed this practice. The near uniform armament and colour scheme of militia units also had a big impact on battlefield morale.

The quarters of a city could have entirely separate coats-of-arms unrelated to that of the city itself. Guild banners often portrayed something to do with that guild's craft or business. A separate system of militia flags might have a deep-rooted explanation from the past: for
example, when the Popolo government reorganised the Florentine militias into twenty gonfalon in the mid-13th century, each had its own distinctive insignia which was put on its flag, the shields and sometimes its helmets. All of this helped forge a sense of unity among the units during a time of crisis.

Italian militia armies were more disciplined than most of their European contemporaries, yet sanctions were still needed to maintain high standards. In Florence in the 1260s, large fines were imposed for failure to attend muster while smaller fines were imposed for not having the proper kit. In Lucca a man who was ill had to send a substitute. In Siena a man who failed to hurry, fully armed, to muster in times of 'Rumour' (disquiet) paid a hefty fine: if he failed to pay on time, he had a foot amputated. Fines for men who could not be caught were imposed on their community instead. Penalties for officers who failed in their duties were even higher. Nevertheless only strong governments could impose such sanctions.

**TACTICS AND TRAINING**

Medieval infantry tactics were quite simple. Nevertheless centuries of Byzantine and Islamic military influence meant that Italian foot soldiers operated in a more complex manner than those north of the Alps. Communal militias were trained to fight in ranks in front of their carroccio, often behind ditches and field fortifications: their primary role was to resist enemy cavalry and enable their own horsemen to counter-attack.

In Tuscany, these tactics had been refined by the late-13th century: the pavešari now formed a wall with their two-metre-high rectangular shields, protecting the spearmen and crossbowmen behind. The mid-13th to early-14th centuries marked the high point of balestiere-pavešari team-tactics, and as a result pavešari could transform their unit into a kind of moving fortress, fending off the enemy with their lancelonghe spears while the protected crossbowmen maintained a steady rate of fire. This was an advance on the old Romano-Byzantine testudo moving 'fortress' of shields, and the protection afforded by the pavešari enabled the crossbowmen to make full use of their weapons' accuracy. Its limited speed however permitted the more heavily armoured cavalry to regain the tactical initiative.

This balestiere-pavešari collaboration was not as tactically successful as the unit organisation of the 'Saracens' of Lucera. Their combined use of composite bows, crossbows and javelins enabled them to maintain a significantly higher rate of fire, while their greater agility permitted offensive manoeuvres even against cavalry. It took time for 'offensive' light infantry to make a significant
return to the Italian battlefield, though during the 14th century Italian foot soldiers continued to play a major role in conflicts in mountainous or hilly regions. In mid-14th-century Savoy, for example, the *brigandi* were grouped into *banderie* units of 25 crossbowmen or 25 *pavesari*. Their methods of coordination and cooperation in battle are unknown. Elsewhere it seems that existing militia formations had become too large and unwieldy. We know that in Florence in 1356 an *élite* of 4,000 crossbowmen – all ‘proven men’ – were re-formed into special units. Elsewhere surviving lists of equipment for selected infantry forces indicate the role they were expected to play. For example, the Statutes of Lucca from 1372 state that the *constable’s* *élite* crossbowmen must have a *corazza* or *lorica* armour, a helmet, dagger, sword, crossbow, a *faretra pro pilloctis* (special quiver), and a *crocco* (hook) to span the weapon, whereas the equipment required for ordinary crossbowmen was merely a *capi* (helmet), dagger, crossbow, ordinary quiver, and a *crocco*.

Disciplined infantry tactics and use of the crossbow required regular training and in 1162 Pisa introduced a law obliging citizens to practise with the crossbow, spear and ‘Sardinian javelin’. More importantly, unit training instilled confidence in the face of an attack, while also maintaining a steady supply of adequately skilled and able crossbowmen. From the late-11th century onwards several urban militias seem to have trained weekly in an infantry counterpart to the better-known knightly tournament. The emphasis was clearly on discipline, as well as on the ability to move as a unit and to withstand a cavalry charge. Experience of the latter was provided by militia cavalry who ‘attacked’ their infantry colleagues in open spaces in front of churches, outside city walls, on main roads, or on a specially designated *campo de batalia* (battlefield). In Bergamo in 1179 one particular training exercise is referred to as a ‘battle with small shields’ which suggests light infantry training: this became more common during the 13th century. All classes took part in what became a form of public entertainment. Wooden weapons were used in these *pugne* or ‘fights’: we also know that judges imposed heavy fines on anybody caught using iron weapons. By the 14th century such exercises often degenerated into brawls in which only youngsters took part: this in itself reflected the decline of the urban militias.

Practice with the crossbow was a more individual affair, though it took place in areas set aside by the ruling bodies. There were also competitions which, like the one instituted in Pisa in 1286, drew in competitors and organised teams from other cities. In 1295 Venice tried to ban all games except crossbow shooting at various *bersaglie* (butts) in and around the city, where all men between the ages of
15 and 35 were supposed to practise. In 1349 the Genoese government established an extensive training area outside the Olivella Gate, to be known as the ‘terra de arcubus’. During the crisis of 1354 Florence insisted on militiamen practising regularly, with a decorated crossbow being given to the champion marksman; also, in both city and contado, religious feast days were often marked by shooting competitions.

**ON CAMPAIGN**

The command structure of communal armies differed from that of feudal forces. In the latter authority was usually based upon age and social status. In communal forces command was more varied, and although members of the aristocracy usually still held positions of authority, this reflected their experience and military reputation rather than mere noble status. Strategic decisions were made by those who ruled the city, though such men were usually aware of their limited military knowledge and so delegated immediate command to a professional. The latter tended to be ‘foreigners’: the idea was that as outsiders they were above factionalism, which was a characteristic feature of Italian cities of this period. For example, on campaign the Florentine Podestà was assisted by a council of twelve captains, two from each sesto (or city-quarter). He was also permitted to strike disobedient men—a great privilege at a time when personal affront and injury could lead to an immediate vendetta. The Podestà was not supposed to take part in the fighting though: instead he directed operations from behind the line.

By the second half of the 13th century most Italian
warfare was a matter of manoeuvre, raiding and sieges, with few pitched battles. This often entailed prolonged campaigns where professionals were more effective than part-time militias. In 14th-century Florence, for example, militia service obligations usually only lasted from ten to fourteen days. Even so it was rare for more than a third of the militia to be called up at one time. Instead of summoning all those from one quarter, a proportion would be taken from each, the aim being to avoid disrupting economic life.

Tactical units varied in size according to circumstance, but the venticinquina (or 25-man unit) was one of the most widely employed. Another interesting feature was the habit of forming tactical units of men from similar trades or occupations, presumably to strengthen comradeship and morale. The smiths, physicians and musicians who accompanied these armies on campaign would also have helped maintain both the fighting effectiveness and the morale of the troops. Crossbowmen were generally brigaded separately from the other infantry, as were the guastatori and the ‘army market’ which accompanied the troops. The late-13th-century Florentine baggage train was divided into two sections, each with a special guard unit under its own officer: one defended the vital carroccio, the other protected the camp followers.

On the march, Italian armies tended to follow the advice of the late-Roman military author Vegetius, with light infantry and light cavalry defending the baggage train. In enemy territory archers and crossbowmen would be placed at the head of the column, the carroccio in the centre with cavalry in the rear. Wagons provided the main form of military transport: this is not surprising, given that more of the old Roman road system had survived in Italy than in most other parts of western Europe, and that during the 14th century the Italian city states paves their major trade routes. Wagons came in several shapes and sizes, but in hilly areas mules and donkeys were used instead. Pack-horses or ronzini were differentiated from the far more expensive war-horses, and were forcibly ‘borrowed’ from the citizens.

Despite a tendency to conduct longer and longer campaigns, most remained limited in both scope and in the number of men involved. In a
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d - undercroft. C: Casa Maruffo in Genoa, a house with a tower attached. D: Case Gattilusio in Genoa, a pair of houses built in 1310.
republic, all operations had to be agreed by a city's Grand Council, which decided upon the command structure to be adopted. Those on the receiving end of raids often attempted to ambush the enemy on the march, either using an elite cavalry force in open country or infantry if the enemy was hit in hills or mountains. Armies were similarly exposed and vulnerable while assembling at a designated campsite.

A typical example of a small-scale campaign can be found in the one launched by Perugia against Foligno in June 1282: although both formed part of the Papal States, they were rivals. Both cities had built and garrisoned castles in an effort to control commercially important access to the sea. Though brief, this was a serious affair, as demonstrated by the fact that the entire financial resources of Perugia were dedicated to the campaign. The *comune* also procured and distributed arms, demanding supplies of crossbows from its *contado* for the new castles, while merchants and artisans all had to supply certain articles from a list carefully composed by the authorities. In addition, the *comune* organised the distribution of food and military supplies to the zone of operations, with two officials called *superstites* checking movements in and out of every city gate. Economic warfare was after all just as important as military action.

The cavalry may have once more come to dominate the battlefield by the second half of the 14th century, but the infantry militia could still demonstrate its effectiveness and importance at crucial moments. For example, when Florence determined to block the passage of the infamous Grand Company of mercenaries across the Apennines in July 1356, it was the 2,500 crossbowmen sent to man the passes that forced the unwelcome visitors to turn back. The same 'impasse' resulted the following year, but in 1358 Florence gave the Grand Company permission to continue its journey to Siena. In the Scala Valley, however, 12,000 local mountain folk decided that the mercenaries were causing too much damage and attacked them. The battered survivors eventually negotiated their safe passage out of Florentine territory.

Byzantine-Roman and Middle Eastern influence is clearly evident in the careful arrangement of medieval Italian military encampments, as well as in the bureaucratic inspections of troops and horses by marshals upon arrival there. This ensured that items 'lost' on campaign and due for replacement by the government did actually exist in the first place, and were not merely invented. Italian field-fortifications however do not seem to have changed a great deal since the fall of the western Roman Empire: the only innovation it seems was the highly effective use of crossbows to defend them. In fact, from the 13th to the 15th century, field-fortifications (along with the tactics of siege) came to dominate Italian warfare: as a result luring an enemy out of his defences became a major priority. A revival of offensive light infantry towards the end of the 14th century may also have reflected this state of affairs, as might a short
lived 13th-century experiment using ‘armoured’ *pantera* (light wooden wagons) to strengthen the defences. Some were even said to have light siege engines on board.

It is interesting to note that there was a dramatic increase in the intensity and effectiveness of Italian siege warfare in the 13th century when archers were largely replaced by crossbowmen. This obliged garrisons to adopt heavier armour while fortifications themselves became more sophisticated. New fortified towns, *bastita* stockaded villages and castles sprung up along frontiers, major roads, river crossings and the junctions of important routes, in order to discourage and hinder enemy raiding. Infantrymen naturally played the dominant role when defending in siege warfare. Nevertheless it was rare for a direct assault to be made against the walls and, as in most other parts of Europe, blockades or mining were more effective than even the largest stone-throwing mangonels. Urban warfare was a more distinctive aspect of this period. During the 13th century this tended to be focused around *torri* with rival groups fighting from behind barricades, advancing from street to street and using siege machines. Rival factions would also put great effort into gaining control of the area immediately around their *torre*, either through purchase or by threats. During the 14th century, however, the importance of such *torri* declined.

As literacy spread, many military treatises were written and circulated. The Florentine scholar Bono Giamboni translated the famous late-Roman work by Vegatius into his local dialect in the late-13th or early-14th century, updating it to include the new infantry crossbow. Guido da Vigevani wrote his *Texaurus* in 1335, supposedly to help prepare a crusade which never actually took place. He wrote in Latin, but had to use Italian vernacular terms when referring to modern arms, armour and mechanical devices. Similar military matters preoccupied Aegidius Columna and Marino Sanudo, both of whom focused on siege warfare. Guido’s ideas were the most practical however, including methods of protecting crossbowmen with quilted and seemingly fireproofed screens, a direct adoption from Arab-Islamic military technology.

Garrison duty may have been tedious, but it still had to be properly organised. Hence urban governments put considerable effort into ensuring that militiamen could get to the walls without delay or obstruction. Each unit was expected to rally around its standard-bearer at a pre-arranged spot, and then fulfil a designated duty or defend a
specified section of the walls. Generally speaking, crossbowmen were assigned the crucial role of protecting the gates. In some areas the manning of outlying castles fell to rural militias who tended however to slope back to their civilian tasks unless closely supervised.

If the Italian middle classes enjoyed their food while at home, there is strong evidence that the armies also expected to be well fed. Once again, the sadly now-destroyed Neapolitan archives provided some fascinating details on this subject. In 1282, for example, the garrison of the Castel dell’Uovo in Naples consumed 300 sacks of corn, 300 *salme* of millet (one *salme* was equivalent to eight barrels), 150 salted pigs and 1,000 cheeses. In 1282, 1283 and 1284 King Charles sent the following supplies to his armies in Calabria and Sicily: boxes of biscuit (mostly consumed by naval crews), ground flour stored in large amphorae, barley, wheat, oil, millet, vegetables, vinegar, cheese, salted meat (including halves of salted pork, shoulders of ham, and thighs of ham), mutton, *capicoli* (a kind of salami), salted eels, salted carp, lard, beans, almonds, loaves of sugar, pepper, cinnamon, cloves, saffron, nutmeg, pimientos, Latin wine, Greek wine, Italian wine from Nocera, Sorrento, Castellamare di Stabia and nearby areas, herbs for medicinal purposes, twists of wax, small candles, unworked wax, horseshoes, discs to make wooden tubs, and livestock too, including two- to three-year-old live sows as well as bulls and cows.

**BATTLE AND AFTERMATH**

On those rare occasions when the militia risked a set-piece battle, the principal problem they faced was the physical and psychological impact of the enemy’s cavalry charge. The infantry’s ability to withstand such an assault was often the deciding factor in the outcome of a battle. The victories won by communal militias before the mid-13th century however had been a triumph of defence over offence: they also precipitated a ‘stand-off’ between old and new kinds of warfare. Large battles might have been less common after 1250, but the numbers of men involved seem to have been greater and the resultant struggles longer-lasting. This was summed up in a speech by a Florentine commander before the Battle of Campaldino in 1289: ‘Wars in Tuscany up till now have been won by short and sharp assaults which did not last long, and few men have been killed in them. This is all changed now. The side which stands
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*Battle scene at Avio castle, showing light-infantrymen with small shields. (In situ, Avio castle; author’s photograph)*
fast is the side which shall win.' Crossbowmen proved though that they could break the static ranks of infantry, as demonstrated by the Ghibelline leader Guido Novello near Faenza in June 1275. Later 13th- and 14th-century Tuscan infantry also often adopted a concave formation to restrict the room available to the enemy for launching a cavalry attack.

Some of the major infantry battles fought in Italy serve as exemplary models. Montaperti in 1260 has been described as typifying the transitional phase between static Lombard militia tactics and fully developed Tuscan ones. Certainly the Florentine infantry remained on the defensive with only 300 pavesari protecting 1,000 crossbowmen, only to be defeated later when abandoned by their own cavalry. Florence's victory over Arezzo at Campaldino in 1289 involved a larger proportion of cavalry than at Montaperti while the infantry were more carefully selected. Both armies faced each other in a valley, the Florentines having their best cavalry in the centre with infantry behind and on each flank plus crossbowmen ahead of the line. To the rear the Florentine baggage train established a semi-fortified position protected by additional cavalry and unreliable allies from Lucca and Pistoia. The army of Arezzo was more innovative, though ultimately unsuccessful, through having the light infantry and cavalry charge the Florentine line together. The light infantry wrought havoc amongst the Florentine cavalry, but the Florentine reserves held off the assault and ensured a successful counter-attack. Pisa's defeat of Lucca at Montecattini in 1315 was an example of heavy infantry pavesari resisting an initial cavalry charge, then being broken up by Pisan crossbowmen, before finally succumbing to a further cavalry attack. Ten years later the Florentine militia were defeated by Castruccio Castracani of Lucca at the Battle of Altopascio, where a somewhat desperate levée en masse from the city and contado consisted of spearmen with inadequate numbers of crossbowmen. This composite force was crushed, in the last major battle where the Florentine militia played a dominant role.

As infantry tactics developed, so did the use of weapons. Certain characteristics of the crossbow affected the way in which it was used: most obviously, the fact that the weapon had to be held laterally meant that crossbowmen could not form such close ranks as ordinary archers. The crossbow's relatively slow rate of fire and the fact that it was shot horizontally also meant that it was more suited to shooting from a concealed position. Ranks could shoot concentrated volleys but then had to simultaneously
reload, most probably while another rank stepped forward and shot. When facing English longbowmen during the Hundred Years War, Genoese crossbowmen found that their pavese shields gave inadequate protection against showers of arrows falling at a steep angle. On the other hand, the English reliance on a thicket of sharpened stakes for protection would have made them vulnerable if the Genoese had been able to bring their weapons to bear. A further disadvantage of the crossbow was the impossibility of quickly removing and replacing the bowstring to protect it from rain, since special equipment was required to restrung the short, thick bow.

The aftermath of battle could be appalling. At the start of this period, crossbowmen were still seen as a threat to the proper order of society, and those captured by Milan in 1246 were mutilated so that they could no longer shoot. Medical science may have been more advanced in Italy than elsewhere in western Europe, but it was still rudimentary. Priests, it was said, stood in the **carroccio**, helping the injured and ministering to the dying. Wounds from arrows and crossbow bolts were already important enough for the famous 12th-century physician Guido of Arezzo to include them in his book on *Chirurgia* (surgery). This included advice on how to remove an arrow from a man’s head. First, the doctor assessed the angle of penetration, then gently loosened the arrow: where possible a small metal borer enlarged the entry hole. Removing arrows from other parts of the body involved the use of forceps to bend the barbs closer to the shaft, or placing a brass tube or goose quill over the barbs to stop them snapping on the edges of the wound. In some places such treatment, without anaesthetic of course, was provided free to men injured on campaign. Similarly their ransoms would be paid if their families could not afford to. In Siena, those in the service of the **comune** who were so badly wounded that they could no longer earn a living, were provided for in the public hospital of Santa Maria della Scala for life.

**ARMS MANUFACTURE, TRADE AND PURCHASE**

During the 12th and 13th centuries Italian arms and armour were virtually identical to those of the rest of western Europe, a result largely of the widespread arms trade and the mobility of the military élite. From the late-13th century onwards western European armourers built upon existing traditions as well as Islamic and Mongol influences to develop new forms of both protective armour and weaponry. A willingness to experiment with different materials was
particularly characteristic of Italy, Germany and the Iberian peninsula. In Italy, for example, there was a significant increase in the use of quilted soft-armours, *cuir-bouilli* hardened-leather, and leather in combination with other materials. The process of manufacturing *cuir-bouilli* involved soaking the leather in cold water, then shaping it in wooden moulds before preserving, stiffening and waterproofing it with molten wax. Smaller pieces of armour were also made of vegetable-tanned cattle hide, untanned or partially dressed rawhide, or buff leather. The leather covering of wooden shields was sometimes secured with a form of cheese-paste glue.

Munitions technology required artisans with specialist skills, and these were often grouped into guilds. Within Italy several centres of arms production emerged, some of which may already have been manufacturing armaments throughout the undocumented early Middle Ages. The most important was Milan which soon exported widely. Genoa similarly had an armaments industry with the *ferrari* (iron-workers) being the second largest group of artisans in the city: the city’s fame as a source of armaments though probably reflected its role as a trading port. In northeastern Italy the metalworkers of Venice were subdivided into separate guilds of *fabbrì* (general smiths), and *spadari* (swordsmiths) who were linked to the *cotelerì* (cutlers), *vaginerì* (scabbard-makers), *frezerì* (arrow-makers) and *corazzerì* (armourers). Here the Tana rope factory next to the ship-building Arsenal was granted a monopoly for the manufacture of crossbow strings, in order to ensure consistent quality.

Central Italy had armaments centres too, such as in Tuscany. In fact armourers from northern Italy may have been frequently invited to Florence in the 12th century, including a family which adopted the name of *Acciajoli* or ‘men of steel’. This family developed a flourishing armour and banking business, rising to positions of prominence and political power in Tuscany, Naples and Crusader Greece. Florentine armourers formed a subdivision of the Silk Guild which suggests that they were concerned with trading weaponry rather than manufacturing it. Those who actually made armaments were grouped into the minor guilds of *spadari* (sword) and cuirass-makers, *tavolacciai e scudai* (shield-makers), and *fabbrì e calderai* (smiths and ‘kettle-makers’ who also made helmets). Meanwhile
cervellari also made all sorts of helmets and corazzeri made all sorts of body armour. The statutes or regulations of the Florentine Arte (guild) of cuirass-makers, lock-makers, iron-workers and copper-workers were drawn up in 1321 to include all ‘those who make cuirasses and all other forms of iron armour’: the regulations insisted that anyone who held office in the guilds was a ‘good Guelf’. The Statutes of the Florentine Arte of leatherworkers was drawn up in 1338 to cover those who manufactured shields, laying great emphasis on the use of good and correct materials. For example, a pavese, scudo, tabulaccio, rotella, targa, bracciaviolo or broccolerio could only include horse, ass, cow, bull or pig leather, and not the skins of dogs, wolves or goats. Lines of demarcation were another concern, particularly in dealings with those who made other aspects of arms and armour which included leather elements. Falsification in the making of military items was harshly punished, and only members of the Florentine guild of corazzeri and spadari were allowed to sign their products with an engraving tool, presumably as a way of ensuring quality control. Lucca in the 14th century employed its own magistri balistarum to supervise the construction of crossbows, one such man being a Florentine, Filippo Loni, who was hired on a five-year contract in 1370. Back in 1284 King Charles of Naples ordered large numbers of composite crossbows from Arezzo, while in Lucera the ‘Saracen’ community included artisans who made similar weapons. Raw materials for the best crossbows came from distant parts, including horn from Alpine regions, wood from the Balkans and cornel or dogwood from the Trabzon area of northern Turkey. Emperor Frederick II of southern Italy and Sicily is said to have imported two-feet crossbows from Palestine in 1239. However, Catalonia and the Balearic islands were a more important source of crossbows, which were generally considered superior to those made in Italy.

Trade in finished arms and armour could involve very large quantities of items and goods. King Charles of Naples for example ordered 4,000 targe and pavese shields from Pisa. In 1295 a Lombard merchant brought no fewer than 1,885 crossbows, 666,258 quarrelli, 6,309 small shields, 2,853 light helmets, 4,511 quilted coats, 751 pairs of gauntlets, 1,374 gorgiera neck protectors and brassards, 5,067 coats-of-plates, 13,495 lances or
lanceheads, 1,989 axes and 14,599 swords and couteaux daggers to Brugge. According to Neapolitan records from the same period, crossbow quarrell was normally transported in wooden crates. Italian crossbows were also sold in the Balkans.

The most famous arms merchant of the 14th century was an Italian named Datini who came from Prato. He described Milan as the ‘head of our trade’ in armour though most of his swords and daggers came from Florence, Viterbo and Bologna. Datini also traded in sheet-metal for shaping into visors and arm-defences. Many items were purchased in an unfinished state and even Datini’s wife was once recorded ‘sewing’ helmets. He hired equipment to those unable to purchase outright, and sent agents to places where arms and armour were going cheap because fighting had ceased. Successful soldiers would also sell equipment collected on the battlefield and there was a brisk trade in such secondhand arms.

There were large fluctuations in the cost of armaments: considered in isolation the prices mean little, but they can be compared with each other and with other items. For example, in 13th-century Venice a sword was worth 45 crossbow quarrell, and a knife worth 25 quarrell (one quarrel cost around one denarius). In Genoa a mail coif cost between 16 and 32 sous, whereas a mail hauberk cost between 120 and 152 sous, presumably reflecting the effort involved in its manufacture. In 1250 the cost was put at 20 soldi for a coif, 120 to 130 for a hauberk, but only 45 to 60 soldi for a cuirass which was probably of leather, and 40 to 50 for a panceria. By the mid-14th century in Florence 20,000 locally made viretoni (crossbow bolts) cost 111 gold florins; while 300 crossbows, 200 barbuta helmets, 100 crocchi (hooks) to span crossbows and an unnumbered quantity of viretoni all came to 700 gold florins. During a similar period Datini sold Milanese bacinietti including mail aventails, leather lining and an ‘inner hood’, for between 4 and 21 florins depending on quality, whereas simple cervelliere helmets for infantrymen cost only a mere 33 soldi.

DRESS, WEAPONS AND ARMOUR

France was the centre of fashion for medieval western Europe and Italian dress only really developed its distinctive features during the 14th century. The most common articles of undergarments were made of linen or cotton, consisting of an interula or camisia for the upper part of the body and a femorale for the lower, plus tight-fitting hose. A suit or indumentum was worn over these, consisting of the tunica or gonnella long shirt to the knees, a pelliccia, renonis or marzuca (short coat), or the elegant guarnacce and argoectum, with or
without sleeves. Finally there were overcoats such as the *mantellum*, *pelles*, *par pellium* and *clamys*, often with a *capputium* (hood) attached. These could be buckled on the right shoulder or made of two pieces, and joined on both shoulders. The wealthy indulged themselves with imported silk, lined fabrics and furs, while the poor put up with *pilurica* ('hairy' garments) of rough wool. Increased trade with Russia and the steppes introduced lambskin, though only the poor wore sheepskin, as it was prone to smelling bad. Reduced trade with the Islamic world led to fewer silks while mass-production of fabric in Flanders, Champagne and England reduced the cost of woollen and linen cloth. This is turn led to a fashion for 'covered' furs, with an external layer of decorated fabric.

There was a gradual move away from the flowing robes of earlier years to what has been called 'sculptural simplicity', involving the use of heavier fabrics. The wealthy began to spend so much on ostentatious outdoor costume that governments became worried. In fact a real 'Age of Luxury' dawned in the second quarter of the 14th century. Perhaps the most significant new garment was a short, tight-fitting, padded tunic based upon a form of soft-armour which, according to Italian chroniclers, first appeared in Florence, Rome and Milan in 1302. It was certainly worn in Naples in the 1330s and having been adopted by wealthy young men, spread across the whole of western Europe to be worn by all classes and ages, except the very poorest.

This phenomenon paralleled a militarisation of Western society and was another aspect of the celebration of violence which characterised western Europe for centuries. Male fashions generally became very tight-fitting, emphasising masculinity first by showing the whole leg in skin-tight hose and eventually by padding the genital area. In fact the 14th century saw the total separation of male and female costume, which remains typical of Western society even today. It was also an assertion of male domination and a rejection of any association with neighbouring Byzantine and Islamic civilisations.

Militia regulations did not deal with ordinary dress, but they did of course specify military equipment in detail. In Bologna in 1252 this consisted of an assortment of staff-weapons from spears and javelins to specialised staff-weapons, such as the *penato*, which was like an early *roncone* with a hook on the side, and a long-hafted axe called a *bordone*. Some of these fearsome infantry staff-weapons were weighted with lead to increase their striking power. A Bolognese law of 1288 specified the armour required of militia infantrymen: *panceria* or *caschetto*, *corseto* and *manica di ferro* gauntlets, *collare* or *gorgiera*, *gambiera* and *cervelliera* of mail, *ciroteca* body armour of iron and a good quality *tabulaccio* or *bracciaiolo*.
shield. Apart from their crossbows, the weaponry specified for crossbowmen was much the same.

In the 1280s King Charles of Naples gave orders that each crossbowman be supplied with a giubetta quilted soft armour (possibly with mail inside), a cervelliera light helmet, gorgiera, perpunto (quilted armour), a crossbow with its bandolier and string, a sword, and a coltello con punta (pointed dagger). Spearmen were supplied with a giubetta, a gorgiera ‘suitable’ for the size of their shield, a spear, cervelliera, sword and ordinary form of coltello. Archers from the ‘Saracens of Lucera’ needed more specialised weaponry including bows ‘of bone in the manner of the Turks’ (composite bows) with coccai quivers, the long arrows used with such bows, spears and small, round rotelle shields. On some occasions these ‘Saracens’ were given spalliere shoulder protectors, camici d’armi (which were probably a sort of uniform coat), and tacche, which have provisionally been identified as archer’s thumb-rings.

Documents from the 14th century differ only in their reference to newer forms of equipment. By now Italian infantry staff-weapons were highly developed, reflecting their importance in warfare. Whereas the blades of 13th-century infantry spears were from 30 to 45cm long on hafts from two to almost three metres long, some 14th-century versions were considerably longer. The falce or falcione was a lighter staff-weapon used from the 12th to the 14th century, common amongst urban militias, whereas the complex ronco or roncone came to prominence as a heavy infantry weapon in the second half of the 13th century. Such weapons were mostly designed to fight cavalry and kill their horses, as were specialised forms of spiked clubs and maces. The broad-bladed basilarda thrusting dagger was primarily an infantry weapon, and although there is considerable argument about the origins of its name, the dagger itself was in a tradition of Mediterranean thrusting weapons known in Italy since the 9th century.

Most infantry militiamen could not afford the latest military equipment, and throughout the 13th century mail provided the most common protection for body, neck, arms and legs. The fabric-covered ghiazzerina, which also incorporated its own padding, is not recorded in Italy before the early-14th century. On the other hand, early forms of corellus or corettum cuirass and lameria coat-of-plates appeared towards the end of the 13th century. The paio di corazze, present in the final third of the 13th century, was a leather cuirass incorporating plates or lames of hardened leather or iron. It was almost certainly of eastern inspiration but was rarely worn by infantry.

Instead many Italian foot soldiers abandoned heavy and inconvenient mail in favour of quilted soft-armour. The infantryman’s strapeota mentioned in Vercelli in 1220–21 may have been an example, while the zuppa, zupone or zuparana, first mentioned a few years later, was certainly quilted armour though it may also have incorporated mail. The southern Italian giubetta was the same garment and a document from Naples, dated 1283, specified that it must be made of fustian cloth or canvas. Like mail, soft-armour offered little protection against arrows but it was light, cheap and
effective against cutting blows. Since a man on horseback could not dodge missile weapons, he was all but obliged to wear the new piazzini heavy plate-armour once he had abandoned his large shield. The man on foot, however, remained more agile and often still carried one of several versions of large shield. Hence he neither needed, nor wanted the weight of full plate armour. The lightness of hardened leather armour, and the fact that it was almost as effective as iron, made it similarly popular in 13th- to mid-14th-century Italy. The infantryman was, in fact, often issued with a coretto which, in the late-13th century, was probably made of leather and lacked sleeves. In the second half of the 14th century the arms merchant Datini described some coretti as having short sleeves and metal studs, suggesting that this term now referred to a brigandine which was first mentioned in Italy around 1367 as the ‘corazzine brighantine’.

The first record of leather upper-arm protections was in the Roman de Meliades written by Rusticiano of Pisa around 1265, with pictorial evidence appearing a decade or so later. Separate mail or mail-covered gauntlets appear around the same time, though remaining rarer. By the second half of the 14th century Datini was describing iron gauntlets lined with leather and having tin studs, as well as cuissards and harnais de jambes for the legs made of iron or leather with iron studs.

The most important piece of armour was the helmet which was still made of iron rather than steel. Helmets had thicker fronts and tops than sides, though some 14th-century examples also had a thickened area over the vital motor centres of the brain at the back of the head. Several styles were available in Italy, as elsewhere, including the broad-brimmed cappella di ferro (or ‘iron hat’), in use from the late-12th century. Early in the 13th century the close-fitting cervelliera became the most popular form, sometimes worn beneath rather than over a mail cusc. The bacinetto or bazinetto, first recorded in Padua in 1281 but present in pictorial sources dating from 20 years earlier, evolved from this and became by far the most common Italian infantry helmet. The barbuta was a specialised form of bacinetto which came further down the sides of the face. It was first mentioned in the mid-14th century. Concern to protect the face was a major characteristic of Italian armour and might have reflected the importance of the crossbow in Italian warfare. Neck and shoulder armour was obviously important for infantry when facing cavalry, and references to the neck-protecting gorgiera become common in the 13th century. A semi-rigid or thickly padded mail or scale shoulder and neck armour used in early-14th-century France and England was called a pizaine, which suggests it originally came from Pisa, while in the late-14th century plated versions of the gorgiera were developed.
Shields declined in importance for cavalry but remained essential for infantry, evolving into many different forms. Three types were listed for King Charles' expedition against the Aragonese in 1283; scudi, pavese and targhe. The targhe and pavese were made of fig or willow wood according to Pisan records, with a leather covering called an incoriati or incollati. According to one source such shields required two whole ass or horse skins, one for the inside, one for the outside. The pavese purchased by Naples in 1282 were 'of five palms' or 'even wider'. The earliest reference to a pavensibus, the Latin version of pavese, was in Bologna in 1229, but the name came from nearby Pavia, a source of pride to the people of that city. As an anonymous Pavian chronicler wrote around 1330: 'The military renown of the Pavians is proclaimed all over Italy. After it are called the large shields, rectangular at the top and bottom, known as Papienses.'

**COLLECTIONS**

Surviving military artefacts from 13th–14th-century Italy are scattered amongst several museums, mostly outside Italy itself. Italy is however overflowing with art, much of which dates from the 13th–14th centuries and illustrates soldiers who clearly reflect the militias of the period. The following list only includes relevant Gothic or Early-Renaissance art.

Angera, Rocca Borromeo: late-13th-century wall-paintings.
Arezzo, Duomo: 1327–30 carvings on the Mausoleum of the Tarlati family.
Assisi, Basilica of San Francesco: late-13th and early-14th-century wall-paintings.
Avio, Castello: c. 1340 wall-paintings.
Bergamo, Duomo: mid-14th-century carvings and wall-paintings.
Bologna, Basilica di Santo Stefano: late-13th-century carvings.
Como, Sant' Abbondio: 1330–35 wall-paintings.
Fossa, Santa Maria ad Cryptas: 1263, wall-paintings.
Orvieto, Museo dell'Opera del Duomo: 1337 wall-paintings.

Allegorical figure of 'Law' on an early-14th-century treaty between Prato and the Kingdom of Naples. (Ms. Royal 6.E.IX, f.21, British Library, London)

Perugia, 1278 Fontana Maggiore, carvings by Pisano.

Pisa, Camposanto: 14th-century wall-paintings.

Pistoia, Duomo: late-13th and mid-14th-century silver altar.


San Sepolcro, Pinacoteca Comunale: 13th-century relief carving.

Siena, Palazzo Pubblico: 14th-century wall-paintings.

Venice, Doge’s Palace: 14th-century carved capitals.

Verona, Santa Maria Antica: early-14th-century carvings.
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Genoa in the late 13th century with family alliances grouped according to Ghibelline, Guelf or unspecified allegiance. 1 - Calvi and Pallavicini; 2 - Advocate and Pevere; 3 - Grimaldi and Spinola; 4 - De Nigro and De Mari; 5 - Spinola di Luccoli; 6 - De Mari; 7 - Imperiali; 8 - Doria; 9 - Fieschi; 10 - De Volta; 11 - Stregiaporci; 12 - De Castro Embriaci.

GLOSSARY

The following selection of technical, predominantly early Italian terms provides a basic scheme of reference and explanation for the key words that appear in the text, and that may be encountered in further reading on this subject. Inevitably, the differences and inconsistency between the spellings and the forms of many of the words is a reflection of the evolutionary state of the Italian language at this time. During the 12th, 13th and 14th centuries, almost every region within the physical confines of the Italian peninsular witnessed a further independent divergence in the degeneration of spoken Latin into a particular regional dialect. They did however retain a certain common familiarity and a fair degree of overall inter-comprehension between them, having originated from the same root. It was not until the 15th century that a concerted attempt was made to recognise a standard form of Italian that could be used and understood on a wider scale, initially on a purely diplomatic level. Thus the following list lays no claims to being an absolute, exact guide on the terminology of this subject, but is instead merely intended to aid the reader in what can be a difficult area of research.
Alabarda halbard staff-weapon
Almugazar Catalan and Aragonese light infantry of Moorish origin
Ancrei archers
Arringo a town assembly
Argocotum coat, sometimes of fur
Arte a trade guild
Ascia de fiante poleaxe
Bacinetto, bazinetto form of helmet protecting the back of the neck
Balanced practice target crossbow bolt
Balestieri della Ghiera crossbowmen recruited in the
Florentine contado
Balestra a croco crossbow spanned by a hook on a waist belt
Balestra a leva crossbow spanned by a gaffle lever
Balestra a staffa stirrup-type crossbow
Balestra da due piedi 'two-feet' crossbow, where both feet were used to hold it while spanning it
Balestra de corno crossbow with a composite bow
Balestra de fusto simple wooden crossbow
Balestra de sterna crossbow with an iron stirrup
Balestra de torno crossbow spanned by a cranequin or winch, sometimes mounted on a frame
Balestieri crossbowmen
Balestra de pensavo probably a large crossbow spanned by a winch
Bandiera a military unit
Bandier commander of a Florentine crossbow unit
Barbuta form of helmet protecting the sides of the face
Barsaglione shooting range
Basilarda dagger with a broad, triangular blade
Bastia fortified village or stockade
Bastone di ferro an iron mace
Bastone di legno a wooden club
Battifolle a wooden siege tower
Berdia a long-hafted axe
Birri élite militia force which also acted as 'police' in Siena
Bordone a long-hafted axe
Bracciaiolo shield with arm straps
Brigandi Italian infantry, probably of rural or 'brigand' origin
Brigandine a tunic of padded body protection
Braccialetto a shield
Calotta leather lining of a helmet
Camagio mail aventail
Camicia shirt or undershirt
Campo de batalia militia training ground
Caporini mail coif
Capitano del Popolo paid official in command of urban militias
Capitoli treaties of submission by feudal lords to the city of Siena
Capo a helmet, or hat
Cappella di ferro an iron-brimmed 'war hat'
Cappuccio a hood
Carroccio a special cart with a flag and bell, used as a symbol of communal identity
Casa-fondaco house incorporating shops or workshops
Casa-torre house with a fortified tower
Cascio, caschetto helmet
Castellano official in charge of a fortified village or castle
Castello fortified village or castle
Cavallata a raid
Cerne Lucchese rural militia
Cervellario a helmet-maker
Cervelliera a close-fitting helmet
Cinquecento torso protection
Cistarella probably leg protectors made of wood, for militia exercises
Cittadini citizens of a city or town
Clamis overcoat
Coccari a form of quiver for arrows
Coif a close-fitting cap of cloth or mail
Collare neck protection
Coltellino dagger
Coltellino con punta pointed dagger
Comitivo private armed following of a powerful individual in Sicily
Comune system of government in which authority was shared on a quasi-democratic basis
Comunanza non-aristocratic members of a comune
Condotta contract between mercenary troops and employers
Coniuvatorio sworn association to work for the common good
Consorzio a network of families related on both the male and female side
Constable official in charge of maintaining law and order
Consul political representative
Contadini peasant inhabitants of a contado
Contado region around a city ruled by that city
Contrada small ward or precinct in Siena
Corazzata, corazzina early form of semi-rigid body armour
Corazzieri armours
Corazzine brighantine semi-rigid armour of small scales inside fabric covering
Corellio, cornutum cuirass or coat-of-plates
Corinto body armour, probably of leather
Coronamusa a wind instrument used in militia bands
Corseca infantry staff-weapon
Corseto body armour
Cortesei knife-makers
Coxaroni cuisses, or thigh armour
Creneau rack and pinion device for spanning a crossbow
Croco a hook, used to span a bow
Cuir-bouilli hardened leather used to make armour
Decena garrison duty outside a city
Domus magna large house of an aristocratic or wealthy family
Elettos specially selected élite of militia crossbowmen
Espingarda see spingarda
Exercitus extended campaign, literally meaning 'army'
Fabbro a smith
Falce da guerra a staff-weapon
Falciione staff-weapon
Falsador a simple crossbow bolt
Femorale undergarment for lower part of body
Ferrari iron-workers
Frezero an arrow-maker
Gaffle metal lever used to span lighter crossbows
Gambiera mail leg protectors
Gastaldones leaders of Paduan guild militias
Ghiazzzerina fabric-covered mail body armour (from the Arabic khazagand)
Ghibelline supporter of the Emperor in quarrels with Papacy
Giubbeta quilted soft armour (from the Arabic jubbah)
Giubbotto padded jacket of the 14th century (from the Arabic Jubbah)
Gonfalonie militia companies
Gonfaloniere officer in command of a militia unit
Gonnella a long shirt
Gorgiera neck-protecting armour
Guaita militia obligation to defend city walls
Guadane raiding tactics
Guarnaccia a coat
Guastatori the infantry used to ravage enemy territory
(literally ‘spoilers’)
Guelf supporter of the Papacy in quarrels with the Emperor
Hauberk a coat of mail
Indumentum a ‘suit’ of three garments
Intunica an undergarment for the upper part of the body
Iuvenes inferioris artisan and worker sections of urban society
Lamiera coat-of-plates body armour
Lanzonche long infantry spears
Lorica mail hauberks
Magistri balistorum a ‘master crossbowman’ who supervised the
construction, maintenance and repair of crossbows
Manaria war-axe
Maniberge mail sleeves covering the hands
Manica di fero iron gauntlets
Mantellum overcoat
Marinella bell on a carroccio
Marzurca short coat of Sardinian origin
Maschera face protection, usually of mail
Massada following of a military leader
Miles militis knights, later including the wealthier
urban middle class
Monstrà registration where soldiers present themselves, their
followers and their horses at a military encampment
Noveschio Siena’s guild-based governing body, composed of
nine (nove) members
Nut a revolving piece set into the stock of a crossbow
which releases the bowstring
Obergum mail hauberks
Padiglione mail aventail
Paio di corazze leather cuirass or coat-of-plates
Panzeria smaller form of mail armour
Pantera light wagon used to construct field fortifications
Parellium an overcoat
Partigiana staff-weapon
Paterfamilia technical head of a family, recognised by law
Pavesani infantrymen with a large mantlet-shield
Pavesi tall mantlet-shield which was rested on the ground
Pedites infantry
Pellex overcoat
Pelliccia short coat
Penato specialised staff-weapon with a hook on one side,
similar to the rocco
Pennonieri junior officers
Perpunto quilted soft armour
Platino plate armour
Pieve group of parishes in the Florentine contado
Pilurica rough, coarse-wool garments worn by the poor
Pinions flights of a crossbow bolt (arrow)
Podestà paid official recruited to ‘rule’ an urban or rural
community
Podestarile an urban police district under the control
of the Podestà
Popolo rule by the non-aristocratic, urban middle class
(the popolani)
Popolo Grasso members of greater guilds
Popolo Minuto members of minor guilds
Pose militia formation charged with maintaining law and order
Priori guild-based governing body in Florence, composed of the
wealthier citizens
Prouvot official in charge of maintaining law and order
Pugna infantry militia training exercise
Quarrel crossbow bolt (arrow) with a four-sided head
Reminis a short coat
Ribaldi those who manned siege engines (literally ‘ruffians’)
Ricondi books of family advice and particulars, passed on
between generations
Rocca a castle in a raised location
Ronco, roncone bill or staff-weapon
Ronzini pack horses
Rotella small round shield
Rumor military alarm or summons
Salme measure of volume (equivalent to eight barrels)
Scagni a pedestal to support larger types of crossbow
Scudai shield-makers
Seuder shield carrier
Scudo general term for a shield
Serviticia debita military obligation owed by citizens to the state
Sesto Florentine city quarter
Signoria aristocratic rule under one man (a signore)
Societas militum the ‘society of knights’, a political faction
Societas pedii the ‘society of foot soldiers’, a political faction
Societas populi the ‘society of the people’, a political faction
Societas armorum armed militia companies
Soldieri poor men who took the place of wealthier
militiamen for payment
Spadaccino a light-infantry foot soldier
Spadaro sword-maker
Spalliere shoulder protectors
Spiedo javelin or infantry spear
Spingarda large crossbow-type siege weapon
Spontone, spuntone short infantry spear
Springald see spingarda
Stipendia militum obligation for militia service
Stipendiarii ‘foreign’ professional troops on a city’s payroll
Strapeta quilted soft-armour worn on the torso
Tabulacciarii shield carriers
Tabulaccio large shield
Taccia an archer’s thumb ring
Tallia militum tax paid instead of militia service
Targa a small shield
Tavolaccini shield-makers
Terra de arcubus crossbow range
Torre urban or rural fortified tower
Trambea military trumpet
Tubatore official trumpeters of a comune
Tunica long shirt, almost to the knees, for men
Vaginieri scabbard and sheath-makers
Valvassori knights
Vastatori see guastatori
Vendetta officially recognised system of controlled vengeance
Venticinqueina militia unit of twenty-five men
Verga sarecchia infantry javelin of Sardinian origin
Vessilli militia unit with nominal allegiance to a
particular banner (vexillum)
Vexillum military unit banner
Viretoni crossbow bolt designed to spin in the air
Xerteri night-watch militia companies in Palermo
Zupper, zupone, zuparane quilted armour (from the Arabic jubah)
THE PLATES

PLATE A: GENOESE PAVESARI TRAINING, c. 1260
Apart from target practice by crossbowmen, foot soldiers including pavesari took part in pugne, or ‘fights’. Teams from various quarters of the city probably formed shield walls which pressed against each other, in something like a rugby scrum. Wooden clubs were used instead of real weapons while a judge tried to ensure that the exercise did not degenerate into a brawl.

PLATE B: MILITIA CROSSBOWMAN AND HIS WEAPONRY, c. 1275
B1: The main figure wears a one-piece iron cervelliera, a mail-lined linen coif and a padded mail gorgiera around his neck and shoulders. Beneath his sleeveless mail panzeria he has a thickly padded perpunto. In addition to quilted cuisses over his thighs he has greaves made of plaited willow, probably called cistarella.
B2: Section through a simple cervelliera showing the leather lining.
B3: Mail-lined and padded linen coif.
B4: Gorgiera viewed from rear, showing lacing and lining.
B5: Presumed structure of a simple ciroteca of rawhide.
B6: Quilted cuisses over breeches, held by a drawstring through the waistband.
B7: Possible construction of a cistarella greave made of plaited willow.
B8: Coltello or early basiarda in which the blade and hilt form an integral whole covered with shaped pieces of wood; plus details of the inner and outer faces of the sheath.
B9: Disassembled elements of a sword with the inner and outer faces of its scabbard showing attachment of the sword-belt.

A: mail lining of a padded coif from Provence, 1250–1300. (Inv. H5, Musée de l’Armée, Paris)
B: late-14th/early-15th-century Italian bacinetto, cut down at a later date. (State Historical Museum, Conservation Store, Moscow; author’s photograph)
C: mid-14th-century Italian bacinetto, later cut down with ‘eye slots’. (Inv. 29.158, 44, Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York)
D: mid-14th-century northern Italian bacinetto, with the rear cut away. (Private collection)

B10: Interior of the shield with leather covering a wooden base.
B11: Exterior of the shield, painted with the arms of the Borgo quarter of Florence.
B12: Crossbow and bolt.

PLATE C: THE BATTLE OF CAMPALDINO, 1289
In the Florentine victory over their rival Arezzo at Campaldino, infantry formed the flanks of the Florentine battle-line. The Arezzo cavalry charged across their front, aiming for the Florentine cavalry at the centre, which also had light infantry among them. Here Florentine crossbowmen and pavesari are skirmishing ahead of their simple field-fortifications.

PLATE D: CROSSES AND ASSOCIATED EQUIPMENT
D1: Primitive Alpine crossbow, 11th–12th centuries, with simple wooden bow and a vertical peg to release the string.
D2: Standard composite crossbow of the 13th–14th centuries with a parchment-covered composite bow, a revolving nut carved from horn and an iron stirrup.
D3: Large ‘two feet’ crossbow with a vertical peg and wooden trigger.
D4: Steel crossbow with a much shorter span and thus shorter stock or tiller.
D5: Details of the construction of a crossbow [A–B middle, C–G top left, H–I bottom of page]. A: unknotted string. B: knotted string. C: side and front views of nut showing groove for the bolt and the notch for trigger. D: section through one type of composite crossbow (1, parchment; 2, sinew lengthways along the bow; 3, wooden core; 4, vertical strips of horn lengthways along the bow; 5, sinew around the bow). E: sectional view of the attachment of a steel bow to its stock (i), bow; (ii), packing piece to stop chaffing. F: top view of packing piece. G: end of stock with bow removed. H: iron stirrup. I: later form of stirrup.
D6: Trigger mechanisms. (a), peg with trigger raised. (b), peg with trigger lowered. (c), nut and sprung trigger system in shooting position. (d), nut and sprung trigger in loose position.
PLATE E: CROSSBOW SPANNING SYSTEMS
E1: Spanning large ‘two feet’ crossbow using hands.
E2: Spanning composite crossbow with stirrup and belt hook.
E3: Using a single cord pulley.
E4: Using a gaffe or ‘Goat’s Foot’ lever.
E5: Spanning a steel crossbow using a cranequin.
E6: Using a windlass.
E7: Single spanning hook attached to a leather belt.
E8–10: Alternative methods of attaching hook to belt.
E11: Double spanning hook.
E12: Single cord, single pulley, single hook system.
E13: Doubled pulley device.
E14: Linked iron pulleys for double cord device.
E15: Single pulley system attached to crossbow.
E16: Bronze ‘roller pulley’.
E17: Gaffe attached to crossbow.
E18: Iron gaffe.
E19: Iron windlass.
E20: Bronze cranequin with a thick rope loop attached to box.

PLATE F: MILITIAMAN OF LUCCA SUMMONED FROM HIS SHOP, C. 1310
Most urban infantry militiamen were drawn from the ranks of skilled artisans and shopkeepers. Such men could be summoned at very short notice though a full-scale military expedition would entail more warning. They would then be selected by ballot or in rotation, since the system was designed not to disrupt the commercial life of the city.

PLATE G: PROFESSIONAL PAVESARE AND HIS WEAPONRY, C. 1335
G1: Many of medieval Italy’s best infantry came from mountainous regions. This figure is largely based upon the wall-paintings in the Casa dei Soldati in Avio castle between Verona and Trento.
G2: Alternative blades for staff-weapons.
G3: Alternative heads for lancelonge.
G4: Section through bacinetto showing the leather lining or calotta.
G5: Bacinetto with hat worn over the top.

PLATE H: CARROCCIO AND TRANSPORT EQUIPMENT
H1: Sienese carroccio with a marinella bell. A: view of the right-hand horse attached to the carroccio; note that it has a saddle for a man to ride ‘post’ so that the wagon needs no driver. B: the left-hand horse, showing the pole to which both horses are harnessed, and that runs between them.
H2: Flat-topped wagon pulled by oxen.
H3: Mule with the simplest form of pack-saddle and sacks, one with a merchant’s stencilled ownership mark.
H4: Baggage donkey with a wooden yoke beneath its tail to stop the pack-saddle slipping forwards when going downhill.
H5: Open wagon pulled by a pair of oxen and one horse.
H6: Wooden yoke for a pair of oxen.

PLATE I: URBAN WARFARE IN THE ADIGE VALLEY, C. 1345
The militiamen defending this barricade are based upon Guelf soldiers in the wall-paintings at Avio castle; the knight leading their foes is from the Ghibelline faction. The spingarda pictured in the bottom right of the plate was powered by twisted skeins of horsehair.

PLATE J: LIGHT INFANTRY SPADACCINO IN PAPAL SERVICE, C. 1375
J1: This foot soldier has the most up-to-date arms and armour available, though as a light infantryman he has no leg armour and relies upon his large oval shield.
J2: Rear of helmet and brigandine showing buckled strap from helmet.
J3: Interior of the brigandine, plus to the right a section

E: mid-14th-century Italian bacinetto from Naples. (British Museum, London)
F: 14th-century Italian bacinetto. (Askeri Muzesi, Istanbul; author’s photograph)
G: late-14th/early-15th-century Italian helmet from Khalkis. (Historical Museum, Athens; author’s photograph)
through the brigandine showing layers of cloth, and part of
the exterior with fabric removed.
J4: Defences for left arm. 1, gauntlet of moulded cuir-bouilli.
2, single sheet of cuir-bouilli for upper arm. 3, multiple armour
for elbow and lower arm of cuir-bouilli and leather covered
strips of iron. 4, interior of the lower arm piece.
J5: Interior of the shield showing leather-covered laminated
wooden structure.
J6: Exterior of shield.
J7: Dagger and sheath.
J8: Stocco sword.

PLATE K: GENOISE MERCHANT SHIP
ATTACKED BY PIRATES, c. 1390
The tall wooden 'castles' at the stern and prow of such ships
held the key to their defence, where pavesari could protect
the captain from sharpshooters. Nevertheless some of the
larger weapons used in naval warfare, such as 'great
crossbows', could penetrate bulwarks, shields and, it was
said, several men.

PLATE L: NON-MILITARY CLOTHING
L1: Late-13th-century underclothes.
L2: Late-14th-century underclothes.
L3: Shirts and tunics. 1, white linen shirt with a wooden
button. 2, Italian shirt of the 14th century. 3, fur-lined tunic;
note the pockets are merely holes. 4, long tunic of the 14th
century, partially lined with fur. 5, long tunic with a tall quilted
collar.
L4: Long and short versions of the quilted perpunto.
L5: Hoods and hats. (i) woollen hood with short tail thrown
fowards. (ii) fur-lined hat with outer fabric in heraldis colours.
(iii) front and side views of a conical hat with top tucked into
the brim before drooping down the side. (iv) simple woollen
cap. (v) cap with decorated brim. (vi) felt hat with brim
pushed forward. (vii) exceptionally tall hat with brim turned up
and down while the cone is folded side to side.
L6: Shoes and boots. 1, open leather sandal with fabric
around the heel. 2, buckled leather sandal. 3, soft leather
boot. 4, leather shoe covered with cloth. 5, plain leather shoe.
6, long-toed shoe.
Militia crossbowman and his weaponry, c. 1275 (see plate commentary for full details)
Carroccio and transport equipment
(see plate commentary for full details)
military clothing (see plate commentary for full details)